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DIVISION V
DESIGN CRITERIA

SECTION 5600 STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEMS AND FACILITIES

SECTION 5601 GENERAL

5601.1 Introduction:

This criteria provides uniform procedures for designing and checking the design of storm drainage systems under the rainfall and land characteristics typical of the Kansas City Metropolitan Area. This manual generally focuses on water quantity concerns including: conveyance, flow rates, and construction design parameters of stormwater systems. For an in-depth discussion of water quality design standards and Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the Kansas City Metropolitan area see the “Mid-America Regional Council and American Public Works Association; Manual for Best Management Practices for Stormwater Quality”.

Federal law requires that “Waters of the United States may be disturbed only after permission is received from the City/County and permitted by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, if applicable. A jurisdictional determination by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers shall be obtained prior to beginning design.” Besides federal guidelines, specific criteria have been developed and are applicable to the types of drainage systems and facilities ordinarily encountered in local urban and suburban areas. Other special situations may be encountered that require added criteria or more complex technology than included herein such as maintaining or improving water quality. Any design procedure conforming to current accepted engineering practice may be used for the design of storm drainage systems in lieu of the computation methods presented in this manual, providing equivalent results are obtained and have been approved by the City/County Engineer. Drainage systems for all developments shall be designed assuming ultimate or built-out land-use conditions. The decision flowchart in Figure 5601-1, “Guide to Stormwater Management for Site Development”, shall be used to determine the appropriate runoff controls.
5601.2 Definitions:

**Best Management Practice (BMP):** Stormwater management practice used to prevent or control the discharge of pollutants to water of the U.S. BMPs may include structural or non-structural solutions, a schedule of activities, prohibition of practices, maintenance procedures, or other management practices. For a comprehensive discussion on BMPs refer to the “Mid-America Regional Council and American Public Works Association; Manual for Best Management Practices for Stormwater Quality”.

**City/County:** The municipality or body having jurisdiction and authority to govern.

**City/County Engineer:** The municipal or county public works official or body having jurisdiction and authority to review and approve plans and designs for storm drainage systems.

**Design Storm:** The combination of rainfall depth, duration, and distribution of a hypothetical rainfall event with a given likelihood of occurring in any year.

**Channel Lining:** Includes any type of material used to stabilize the banks or bed of an engineered channel including, but not limited to, vegetation.

**Detention Storage:** The volume occupied by water above the level of the principal spillway crest during operation of a stormwater detention facility.

**Developer:** Any person, partnership, association, corporation, public agency, or governmental unit proposing to or engaged in "development".

**Development:** Any activity, including subdivision, that alters the surface of the land to create additional impervious surfaces, including, but not limited to, pavement, buildings, and structures. Refer to Section 5601.3 for applicability.

**Easement:** Authorization by a property owner for the use by another for a specified purpose, of any designated part of the property.

**Emergency Spillway:** A device or devices used to discharge water under conditions of inflow that exceed the design outflow from the primary spillway detention facility. The emergency spillway functions primarily to prevent damage to the detention facility that would permit the sudden release of impounded water.

**Engineer:** See ‘Registered Professional Engineer’.

**Engineered Channel:** An open drainage channel that has been explicitly designed to convey stormwater runoff in accordance with Section 5607 or as approved by the City/County engineer.
**FHWA:** Federal Highway Administration.

**Floodplain:** A relatively level surface of stratified alluvial soils on either side of a watercourse that is inundated during flood events.

**Freeboard:** The difference in elevation between the top of a structure such as a dam or open channel and the maximum design water surface elevation or high water mark. It is an allowance against overtopping by waves or other transient disturbances.

**Impact Stilling Basin:** A device that dissipates energy by allowing flowing water to strike a stationary surface therefore producing turbulence and energy loss.

**Impervious Surface:** A surface that prevents the infiltration of stormwater.

**Improved Channel:** Any channel changed by grading or the construction of lining materials as approved by the City/County Engineer.

**Incision:** Adjustment of the channel bed elevation downwards, typically in response to some type of disturbance.

**Increased Runoff:** Increase in volume or peak flow of stormwater runoff.

**Meander amplitude:** The linear distance between the apex of one meander and the apex of the next meander in a naturally curving stream.

**Meander length:** The length measured along the thalweg of one complete waveform.

**Meander wavelength:** The length of one complete waveform, measured as the straight-line linear distance along the valley between two analogous points on a waveform.

**Low-drop structures:** A step pool energy dissipation structure typically constructed out of rock or concrete with a design vertical drop of 2 feet or less per step.

**Natural Channel:** Any waterway with the ability to self-form by virtue of having at least one unfixed boundary. This includes drainage ways that may have been previously disturbed but through inactivity over time have begun to reform one or more characteristics of undisturbed streams.

**Open Channel:** A maintained earthen or lined waterway with an open water surface as approved by the City/County engineer.

**Ordinary High Water Mark:** A line on the bank established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as clear, natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding areas.
Owner: The owner of record of real property.

Point bars: Depositional features generally occurring on the inside of stream bends and opposite cut banks.

Pools: A deep reach of a stream. The reach of a stream between two riffles; a small and relatively deep body of quiet water in a stream or river.

Primary Outlet Works: A device such as an inlet, pipe, weir, etc., used to discharge water during operation of a storage facility under the conditions of the 1% design storm or more frequent event.

Principal Stream: Stream Segments included in FEMA Flood Insurance Studies where the limits of the 1% floodplain and 1% flood elevations have been determined.

Private Detention Facility: Any detention facility located on and controlling discharge from a site wholly owned and controlled by one owner and not platted for future subdivision of ownership. Also, all facilities incorporating detention storage of stormwater in or on any of the following:

- Roofs of buildings or structures also used for other purposes.
- Paved or surfaced areas also used for other purposes.
- Enclosed or underground pipes or structures on private property when the surface is used for other purposes.

Public Detention Facility: Any detention facility controlling discharge from a tributary area owned by more than one owner and/or platted for future subdivision of ownership, except as defined as a private detention facility herein.

Redevelopment: Remodeling, repair, replacement, or other improvements to any existing structure, facility, or site.

Registered Professional Engineer: A licensed engineer who is registered with and authorized to practice engineering within the state of registration.

Riffles: Shallow rapids in an open stream, where the water surface is broken into waves by obstructions such as natural channel armoring or bedrock outcrop wholly or partly submerged beneath the water surface.

Sediment Storage: The volume allocated to contain accumulated sediments within a detention facility.

Site: A tract or contiguous tracts of land owned and/or controlled by a developer or owner. Platted subdivisions, industrial and/or office commercial parks, and other
planned unit developments shall be considered a single site. This shall include phased
development where construction at a tract or contiguous tracts of land may occur in
increments.

**Storm Drainage System:** All of the natural and man-made facilities and
appurtenances such as ditches, natural channels, pipes, culverts, bridges, open
improved channels, swales, street gutters, inlets, and detention facilities which serve
to convey surface drainage.

**Storm Water Detention Facility:** Any structure, device, or combination thereof
with a controlled discharge rate less than its inflow rate.

**Swale:** An engineered channel conveying stormwater from more than two lots.
Requires an easement.

**Thalweg:** The deepest part of a channel cross-section. The dominant thread of stream
flow creates the thalweg.

**Tributary Area:** All land draining to the point of consideration, regardless of
ownership.

**Watershed:** All the land area that drains to a given point.

**Waveform:** A complete cycle of two channel bends in opposite directions.

**Wet Detention Facility:** A detention facility that is designed to include permanent
storage of water in addition to the temporary storage used to control discharge rates
from the facility.
5601.3 General Requirements and Applicability:

The design shall be accomplished under the direction of a Registered Professional Engineer qualified in the field of stormwater design. The design shall be based on land use in the tributary area as zoned, actually developed, or indicated by an adopted future land use plan, whichever basis produces the greatest runoff.

This design criteria shall apply to all development, including subdivision, that alters the surface of the land to create additional impervious surfaces, including, but not limited to, pavement, buildings, and structures with the following exceptions:

A. Redevelopment, expansion, renovation, repair and maintenance activities listed below:

1. Additions to, improvements, and repair of existing single-family and duplex dwellings.

2. Remodeling, repair, replacement, or other improvements to any existing structure or facility and appurtenances that does not cause an increased area of impervious surface on the site.

3. Remodeling, repair, replacement or other improvements to any existing structure or facility and appurtenances on sites smaller than two acres that does not cause an increased area of impervious surface on the site in excess of 10 percent of that previously existing.

4. Remodeling, repair, replacement, or other improvements to any existing structure or facility and appurtenances that does not cause an increased area of impervious surface on the site in excess of 10 percent of that previously existing, provided the total impervious area of the site is less than 20 percent of the total land area of the site post construction. (See “Site Planning for Urban Stream Protection” provided by the “Center for Watershed Protection” for a discussion on imperviousness and its effect on watershed health; http://www.cwp.org/SPSP/TOC.htm).

B. New construction meeting the following criteria:

1. Construction of any one new single family or duplex dwelling unit, irrespective of the site area on which the structure may be situated, provided the total impervious area of the site is less than 20 percent of the total land area of the site post construction.

2. Construction of any buildings, structures, and/or appurtenant service roads, drives, and walks on a site having previously provided stormwater management, as defined in Section 5601.5 A3 as part of a larger unit of development, OR a site previously relieved of stormwater management requirements.
5601.4 Existing Drainage System:

Existing drainage system component pipes, structures, and appurtenances within the project limits may be retained as elements of an improved system providing:

1. They are in sound structural condition.

2. Their hydraulic capacity, including surcharge, is equal to or greater than the capacity required by this criteria.

3. Easements exist or are dedicated to allow operation and maintenance.

Discharge from an existing upstream storm drainage system shall be computed assuming its capacity is adequate to meet the performance criteria listed in Section 5601.8. The computed discharge shall be used to design the new downstream system even if the actual capacity of the existing upstream system is less.

5601.5 System Types and Applications:

A. General Guidelines: Natural channels are to be preserved to the maximum extent practicable as site conditions permit. Design standards for natural channels are addressed in Section 5605. Engineered channels, the next highest priority system component, shall be designated and coordinated with the design of building lots and streets in accordance with the design criteria and performance standards addressed in section 5607.

To the maximum extent possible, drainage systems, street layout and grades, lot patterns and placement of curbs, inlets and site drainage, and overflow swales shall be concurrently designed in accordance with the design criteria and performance standards set forth in this document. Curb and gutter may be omitted or modified where approved by the City/County Engineer and deemed feasible in conjunction with other stormwater management practices including water quality BMPs.

Enclosed conveyance systems consisting of inlets, conduits, and manholes may be used to convey stormwater runoff where site conditions and open space requirements will not permit the use of natural or engineered channels. Where used, such systems must be designed in accordance with design criteria and performance standards addressed in section 5606.

Generally, a public storm drainage system is constructed when the peak discharge is greater than 8 cfs for the 10% design storm and the drainage is generated by more than one lot.

1. Open Systems: Where feasible, open systems consisting of open or engineered channels shall be used if all of the following design criteria and the conditions of Section 5601.8 are met:

   a. The channel slope is less than or equal to 5 percent or where appropriate armoring techniques are used to prevent erosion.
b. The 50% design storm velocity is less than or equal to 5 feet per second (fps) or where appropriate armoring techniques are used to prevent erosion.

c. When 60 feet or farther away from top of bank to any existing or proposed habitable building, regardless of system design capacity.

2. **Enclosed Systems:** Enclosed systems consisting of underground pipes, culverts, and similar underground structures shall be used to convey stormwater at all locations whenever one of the following design criteria and the conditions of 5601.8 are met:

   a. Where natural channels or open systems are not feasible per the requirements set forth in Section 5605 and Section 5601.5-A1

   b. Within the right-of-way of streets with curbs, regardless of system design capacity.

3. **Stormwater Management:** New development or redevelopment as defined in Section 5601.2 shall incorporate stormwater management measures to control runoff from the site. The allowable runoff is defined by the volume, timing, and peak rate of runoff and is dependent on the watershed characteristics. Allowable runoff may be limited by the need to minimize flood damage, prevent erosion, and/or minimize impacts to the ecology and water quality of the downstream drainage system.

   Stormwater management for site development may include structural facilities and/or non-structural solutions. The Developer shall evaluate the site development plan according to the flowchart in Figure 5601-1. This chart provides decision criteria for determining the potential impacts of the site on the watershed. Beginning with the hexagon-shaped dialog box in the upper left of the chart, check the requirements as indicated, then proceed to the next dialog box to the right. Determine the “yes” or “no” answer to the question in the dialog box, and continue to next box to the right or below, according to the answer. Where runoff controls are required, low-impact development practices or off-site control of runoff in addition to or instead of the standard wet or dry bottom basins may be used.

   Flooding problems are defined as one or more of the following conditions:

   a. Homes, buildings, or other structures downstream from a proposed development are flooded in a 1% or more frequent flood.

   b. Flood damage problem areas for the 1% or more frequent flood have been identified, or an engineering study indicates the proposed development would cause or increase such flooding.
c. Street flooding as defined in 5601.5-A-4-b or as defined by the City/County.

To identify existing local flooding problems, the stormwater management study for a development project shall include an analysis of the existing downstream drainage system to the point the development’s land mass is less than 10% of the total watershed, unless waived by the City/County. The City/County may require additional analysis of the downstream drainage system to identify flooding problems, especially in sensitive areas or where flooding has occurred downstream.

If flooding problems will occur, as defined above, runoff from the development shall be controlled by limiting the storm water release rates for the 1%, 10% and 50% design storms to the predevelopment peak flow rates for the 1%, 10% and 50% storms respectively.

Additionally, the City/County may require a study to verify downstream predevelopment peak flow rates are not increased at specific downstream locations due to the development. Some communities may also establish more stringent release rates in sensitive watersheds.

4. **Overflow Systems**: Each conveyance element of the stormwater drainage system (whether open, enclosed, or detention) shall include an overflow element. When the in-system capacity defined in Sections 5601.8 and 5608 is exceeded, the overflow element shall be designed to route the surcharge downstream. Types of overflow systems are site-dependent and may include streets, channels, overland surfaces, redundant piping, or spillways, or combinations thereof. The in-system capacity combined with the overflow system capacity shall be sufficient to convey the peak discharge generated by the 1% design storm without flooding problems. The maximum water surface elevation of the 1% storm stage at any point along the drainage system shall be no greater than:

   a. One foot below the lowest elevation at which water may enter any proposed or existing building or structure; AND

   b. A depth of 7 inches at the lowest point of the traveled roadway. This may be the curb flowline, the road centerline, or somewhere in between, depending on the site.

5601.6 **Waivers:**

The Developer may submit a study by a registered professional engineer that quantifies the problems and demonstrates that a waiver (exemption) of the requirement to provide stormwater management is appropriate. The City/County
Engineer may waive requirements to provide specific types of stormwater elements as follows:

A. **Stormwater Management Facilities:** Stormwater management facilities may be waived and/or release rates other than those permitted by Section 5608 may be approved by the City/County Engineer when the criteria in Figure 5601-1 have been met or when the development meets City/County-defined criteria for waiving flood control requirements.

B. **Overflow Channels:** In previously developed areas, requirements to provide for 1% storm conveyance may be reduced by the City/County Engineer in circumstances where 1% flood protection is not reasonably attainable due to the location of damageable improvements with respect to the drainage system.

**5601.7 Other Requirements:**

Rules and regulations of other agencies also pertain to drainage systems which may or may not complement this criteria. When conflicts are encountered, the more stringent criteria shall govern.

The following agencies have jurisdiction over streams and/or drainage systems and often require permits. Other regulations, permits and requirements may not be limited to these agencies.


B. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

C. Missouri Department of Natural Resources.

D. Kansas Department of Agriculture.

E. Municipal Ordinances.

**5601.8 Levels of Service:**

Drainage systems shall be designed to meet all levels of service described below. In addition, natural streams include requirements specified in Section 5605.

A storm drainage system shall be provided that is capable of conveying the peak discharge generated by the 1% design storm. If the in-system capacity established in this section is less the 1% storm peak discharge, then an overflow system as specified in Section 5601.5 -A-4 may provide the additional system capacity.

A. **Protection of property:**
1. Property not reserved or designed for conveying storm water shall be protected from frequent inundation:
   
a. When the 10% storm flow is 8 cfs or less, protection may be provided by following good lot grading practices or by one of the conveyances described below.

b. When the 10% storm flow is greater than 8 cfs, one of the following conveyances must be used to convey the 10% storm:
   
   1). Pipe system conveying the design storm under a regime of pressure flow with no overflow at inlets or manholes, or
   2). An engineered open channel conveying the 10% storm at bank full
   3). A street gutter
   4). A natural stream
   5). A water quality BMP

2. Buildings shall be protected from infrequent flooding by:
   
a. Providing a minimum of one-foot freeboard above the 1% storm stage, at any point along the drainage system, for openings in a building. Where 10% storm flows are less than 8 cfs, freeboard may be reduced to 6 inches.

b. Floodproofing a building below the 1% storm water elevation plus one foot of freeboard, in accordance with the current edition of the International Building Code or as required by the City/County. Where 10% storm flows are less than 8 cfs, freeboard may be reduced to 6 inches.

c. Non habitable accessory buildings are sometimes provided less protection by local City/County ordinances or policies. Consult the local authority for exceptions.
B. Protection for streets:

1. Water spread in streets shall be controlled to allow continued traffic flow during most storms. This may require conveyance systems of greater capacity than required by property protection level of service, Section 5601.8-A. Lane spread and system capacity is a function of street classification irrespective of the land use in which the street is located or the land use in the drainage area tributary to the drainage system. Maximum lane spread is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Classification (classifications per APWA 5202)</th>
<th>Return Frequency</th>
<th>Allowable Spread (feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Arterial</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial/Arterial and Arterial/Collector Intersections</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial/Commercial Collector</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>11.5 for 2 lane, 12.0 for 3 or more lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Collector</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>11.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Local</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10.5**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Access</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10.5**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Increase to 12.0 if parking permitted
**Increase to 11.5 if parking permitted

2. Maximum water depth at any point in the street shall be limited to 7 inches during the 1% storm. The point of maximum depth might occur in the curb flowline, the right of way or somewhere in between, depending on the site.

3. Concentrated flow, not conveyed in the gutter system, shall be conveyed under streets to prevent vehicles from being swept from the roadway during infrequent storms. Concentrated flow may overtop the street up to the limits allowed in part 5601.8-B-2 only if the span of the structure opening is less than 20 feet and one of the following conditions are met:

a. The peak storm water runoff from the 1% storm is 250 cfs or less.

b. The peak storm water runoff from the 1% storm is greater than 250 cfs and a guardrail is installed to provide a protective barrier.
C. **Downstream impacts:**

1. The negative impacts of development on flooding problems in the downstream system shall be mitigated through detention as specified in Section 5601.5-A-3, or through other means approved by the City/County.

   a. Impacts on natural channels are regulated in Section 5605.

   b. Communities that have adopted the recommended “Manual of Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Stormwater Quality” should also mitigate the negative impact of development on natural channels through the installation of water quality BMPs and closely adhere to practices specified in Section 5605 on natural channels.
SECTION 5602 HYDROLOGY

5602.1 Scope:

This section sets forth the hydrologic parameters to be used for computations involving the definition of runoff mass and peak rates to be accommodated by the storm drainage system. The methods to be used for calculating runoff mass and peak rates are intended for the design of drainage systems.


5602.2 Computation Methods for Runoff:

Runoff rates to be accommodated by each element of the proposed storm drainage system shall be calculated using the criteria of this section for land use runoff factors, rainfall, and system time. The following methods of computations are allowed:

A. Watersheds Less than 200 Acres: The Rational Method may be used to calculate peak rates of runoff to elements of enclosed and open channel systems, including inlets, when the total upstream area tributary to the point of consideration is less than 200 acres. The Rational Method is defined as follows:

\[ Q = KCiA \]

where

- \( Q \) = Peak rate of runoff to system in cfs
- \( C \) = Runoff coefficient as determined in accordance with Paragraph 5602.3
- \( i \) = Rainfall intensity in inches per hour as determined in accordance with Paragraph 5602.6

\( K \) = Dimensionless coefficient to account for antecedent precipitation as follows, except the product of \( C \cdot K \) shall not exceed 1.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Storm</th>
<th>( K )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10% and more frequent</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. **Baseline Unit Hydrograph Method:** The following computer implementations of the unit hydrograph method are acceptable for all watersheds:


Copies of the above publications and micro-computer programs based thereon are available for purchase through National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161. Inputs for unit hydrograph procedures shall conform to the requirements of sections 5602.3 through 5602.8.

C. **Kansas “Calibrated” Design Method:** In lieu of the input parameters set forth in sections 5602.3 through 5602.8, an alternative unit hydrograph method using HEC-1 or HEC-HMS and conforming to recommendations based on research conducted at the University of Kansas for gauged basins in Kansas (McEnroe and Zhao, August 2001 and McEnroe and Gonzalez, July 2002) are allowed. Such alternate procedures must use the appropriate total combinations of HEC-1 hypothetical storm distributions, storm durations, antecedent moisture conditions, unit hydrograph peaking coefficients, and basin lag times as calibrated in the research. All other computations shall be given as required in this standard. Antecedent moisture condition adjustments shall be made only to the pervious component of a watershed, direct conversion of composite antecedent moisture conditions is not appropriate.

D. **Other Alternative Design Methods:** Alternative design methods, including computer models and Kansas “Calibrated” Design Method, may be employed so long as they produce runoff hydrographs to the system that are substantially the same or more conservative than those calculated by the baseline method. To assess the equivalence of such methods, the Engineer shall prepare estimates using both the alternate design method and the baseline unit hydrograph method, and shall report for every sub-basin the following data from both: peak flow rate, lag time, runoff volume, and equivalent curve number based on total storm precipitation and direct runoff. Any discrepancy greater than 5% between the two models shall be clearly identified, and discrepancies that produce less conservative results shall be justified. Testing of equivalence is not required if the alternative method has been proven to the City/County engineer to be consistently more conservative than the baseline unit hydrograph method or if the City/County Engineer has determined that the alternative method is reliably more accurate or appropriate for the design condition.
E. Regression Formulas: Rural regression formulas prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey for Kansas (Rasmussen and Perry, 2000) and Missouri (Alexander and Wilson, 1995) and urban regression formulas prepared for nationwide use (Sauer, Thomas, Stricker, and Wilson, 1983) or Missouri use (Becker, 1986), or their subsequent revisions, shall not be used as the sole input for project design, but are useful tools for evaluating design models. Rural regression formulas shall be used only to represent rural or pre-development conditions when significant basin storage does not exist. The Kansas rural regression formula produces substantially more conservative peak flow estimates than the Missouri equation and should be used unless specifically allowed otherwise by the City/County. For urban watersheds, the rural regression results can be used as inputs to the urban regression formula, or a pre-development scenario of the basin model can be developed to compare to the rural regression, and then physically realistic adjustments can then be made to impervious percentages, ground cover, basin lag times, and channel routing to produce the urban scenario. Figures 5602-1 and 5602-2 show typical results for Kansas and Missouri, including a summary of the gauge data estimates used to derive the equations. Table 5602-1 and Figures 5602-3 and 5602-4 present documented extreme stream flows in Kansas City and other areas. Engineers shall use caution in interpreting regression formula results and acknowledge the range of standard error and uncertainty of both the regression formulas and the underlying gauge estimates.

5602.3 Runoff Coefficients:
Runoff Coefficients relative to development and land use shall have the following values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAND USE/ZONING</th>
<th>AVERAGE IMPERVIOUS</th>
<th>AVERAGE PERVIOUS</th>
<th>RATIONAL METHOD “C”</th>
<th>S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Business</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Area</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Areas</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Residential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family Areas</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily Areas</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churches &amp; Schools</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Industrial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Areas</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Areas</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Cemeteries</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad Yard Areas</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Undeveloped Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Unimproved Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelts, etc.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

56-16
e. All Surfaces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAND USE/ZONING</th>
<th>AVERAGE PERCENT IMPERVIOUS</th>
<th>AVERAGE PERCENT PERVIOUS</th>
<th>RATIONAL METHOD “C”</th>
<th>S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impervious: asphalt</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete, roofs, etc.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turfed</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet detention basins</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Soil Type “C” is assumed for this table.

C value can be calculated from any type of land use and known percent impervious using the following equation:

\[ C = 0.3 + 0.6 \cdot I \]

where:

\[ I = \text{percent impervious divided by 100} \]

Land areas not zoned, but whose future land use is defined by an adopted land use plan, shall be assigned runoff coefficients for the land use indicated by such plan. Undeveloped areas designated as agricultural or those for which no specific future land use is indicated shall be assigned a minimum of 35 percent impervious surface for purposes of the design of storm drainage systems \( (C = 0.51, \text{CN} = 83) \).

As an alternative to the above coefficients, and for areas not listed above (planned building groups, shopping centers, trailer parks, etc.), a composite runoff coefficient based on the actual percentages of pervious and impervious surfaces shall be used.

**5602.4 Rainfall Mass:**

The U.S. Soil Conservation Service (SCS) Type 2 twenty-four hour rainfall distribution shall be used for all computations that employ the use of rainfall mass. That rainfall distribution is reproduced as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME IN HOURS</th>
<th>ACCUMULATED RAINFALL IN PERCENT OF 24-HOUR RAINFALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>14.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>16.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>18.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>20.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unit Hydrographs:

The SCS Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph (either curvilinear or triangular) shall be the basis for computation of runoff hydrographs.

Rainfall Intensity:

Rainfall intensity shall be determined from Figure 5602.5 or Table 5602.2 using a calculated Time of Concentration.

Time of Concentration and Lag Time:

Time of Concentration \( (T_c) \) is equal to the overland flow time to the most upstream inlet or other point of entry to the system, Inlet Time \( (T_I) \), plus the time for flow in the system to travel to the point under consideration, Travel Time \( (T_T) \).

\[
T_c = T_I + T_T
\]

A. Inlet Time: \( T_I \) shall be calculated by the following formula or determined graphically from Figure 5602-6, but shall not be less than 5.0 minutes nor greater than 15.0 minutes:

\[
T_I = 1.8 \cdot \frac{(1.1 - C)D^{1/2}}{S^{1/3}}
\]

where:

\( T_I = \) Inlet Time in minutes

\( C = \) Rational Method Runoff Coefficient as determined in accordance with paragraph 5602.3

\( D = \) Overland flow distance parallel to slope in feet
(300 feet shall be the maximum distance used for overland flow)
S = Slope of tributary area surface perpendicular to contour in percent.

B. **Travel Time:** \( T_T \) shall be calculated as the length of travel in the channelized system divided by the velocity of flow. Velocity shall be calculated by Manning’s equation assuming all system elements are flowing full without surcharge. Travel time may be determined graphically from Figure 5602-7 in lieu of calculation.

To provide for future development when the upstream channel is unimproved, the following table shall be used for calculating \( T_T \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AVERAGE CHANNEL SLOPE PERCENT</th>
<th>VELOCITY IN FT/SEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to 5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. **Lag Time:** Lag Time \( (T_L) \) is the calculated time between the maximum rainfall intensity of a storm and the point of maximum discharge on the outlet hydrograph. Lag Time is used instead of time of concentration for unit hydrograph models. It shall be calculated as \( 3/5 \)th the time of concentration \( (T_c) \) given by paragraph 5602.7 (A and B).

**5602.8 Hydrograph Routing:**

Routing of hydrographs through storage elements or reservoirs shall be by modified-Puls level pool routing. Routing through channels shall be by the Muskingum-Cunge method. If the detention effect of significant storage in channels behind roadway embankments or culverts is to be modeled, the area impacted by the storage shall be modeled as a reservoir, and the remainder of the channel modeled using Muskingum-Cunge. Such incidental detention shall not be used for design discharge estimates unless allowed by the City/County and it can be reliably demonstrated that such storage will be maintained over the useful life of the proposed improvements.
5602.9 Calibration and Model Verification:

All design discharge estimates should be calibrated to the extent possible using reliable gauge data, high water marks, or historical accounts. Model results should be evaluated to verify that they are reasonably conservative as compared to observed data and standard practice. Model calibration shall not be used to justify discharge estimates that are lower than those provided by the baseline unit hydrograph method, unless unusual site specific factors justify, where the hydrologic impact of such factors must be thoroughly examined and documented. Engineers shall recognize the significant uncertainty associated with design discharge estimates and provide estimates that are reasonably conservative and protective of the public interest. To permit model verification, discharge rates (expressed as absolute discharge or discharge per acre of tributary area) shall be plotted relative to tributary area and compared to regression formula results, gauge estimates, and/or known historical extremes.
SECTI0N 5603 HYDRAULICS

5603.1 Hydraulic Calculations for Pipes, Culverts, and Open Channels:

A. Gravity versus Pressure Flow for Enclosed Systems: Two design philosophies exist for sizing storm drains under the steady uniform flow assumption. The first is referred to as open channel, or gravity flow design, in which the water surface within the conduit remains open to atmospheric pressure. Pressure flow design, on the other hand, requires that the flow in the conduit be at a pressure greater than atmospheric. For a given flow rate, design based on open channel flow requires larger conduit sizes than those sized based on pressure flow. While it may be more expensive to construct storm drainage systems designed based on open channel flow, this design procedure provides a margin of safety by providing additional headroom in the conduit to accommodate an increase in flow above the design discharge. Under most ordinary conditions, it is recommended that storm drains be sized based on gravity flow criteria at full flow or near full. Pressure flow design may be justified in certain instances. As hydraulic calculations are performed, frequent verification of the existence of the desired flow condition should be made. Storm drainage systems can often alternate between pressure and open channel flow conditions from one section to another (U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration, 1996).

For gravity flow conditions, Manning’s formula shall be used as described below.

\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A \cdot R^{2/3} S^{1/2} \]

where:

- \( Q \) = Discharge in cubic feet per second
- \( A \) = Cross sectional area of flow in square feet
- \( n \) = Roughness Coefficient (see Table 5603-1)
- \( R \) = Hydraulic radius \( R = \frac{A}{P} \) in feet
- \( S \) = Slope in feet per foot
- \( P \) = Wetted perimeter in feet

In closed conduits flowing under pressure flow, the energy grade line (EGL) will be above the crown of the pipe. In this case, the Bernoulli equation shall be used to calculate pipe capacity:
\[
\frac{p_1}{\gamma} + \frac{v_1^2}{2g} + z_1 = \frac{p_2}{\gamma} + \frac{v_2^2}{2g} + z_2 + h_f + h_m \text{ where:}
\]

\begin{align*}
\frac{p_1}{\gamma} &= \text{pressure head in the upstream system segment in feet} \\
\frac{v_1^2}{2g} &= \text{velocity head in the upstream system segment in feet} \\
z_1 &= \text{elevation of the system invert in the upstream system segment in feet} \\
\frac{p_2}{\gamma} &= \text{pressure head in the downstream system segment in feet} \\
\frac{v_2^2}{2g} &= \text{velocity head in the downstream system segment in feet} \\
z_2 &= \text{elevation of the system invert in the downstream system segment in feet} \\
h_f &= \text{friction loss in the downstream system segment in feet} \\
h_m &= \text{minor system losses in the downstream segment in feet}
\end{align*}

Pipe friction losses, \(h_f\), may be calculated by the Darcy formula, the Hazen-Williams formula, or the friction slope method.

1. **Darcy-Weisbach Equation**: The most common expression for calculating head loss due to friction is the Darcy-Weisbach equation:

\[
h_f = \frac{fL}{D} \cdot \frac{v^2}{2g}
\]

where:

\begin{align*}
f &= \text{the friction factor, determined from the Moody friction factor chart} \\
L &= \text{length of pipe in feet} \\
v &= \text{velocity of flow at point of interest in feet per second} \\
D &= \text{diameter of pipe in feet} \\
2g &= 64.4 \text{ feet per second per second}
\end{align*}

2. **Hazen-Williams Formula**: Another method for finding the friction head loss is the Hazen-Williams formula. The Hazen-Williams formula gives good results for liquids that have kinematic viscosities around \(1.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ ft}^2/\text{sec}\) (corresponding to 60°F water). The Hazen-Williams formula should be used only for turbulent flow. The Hazen-Williams head loss is:

\[
h_f = \frac{3.022v^{1.85} \cdot L}{C^{1.85} \cdot D^{1.65}}
\]

where:
\( C \) = Loss coefficient, determined from H-W chart for various pipe materials

3. **Friction Slope Method**: This derivation of Manning’s equation is from (FHWA, 1996).

\[ h_f = S_f \cdot L = \frac{Q \cdot n}{(1.486A \cdot R^{2/3})^2} \cdot L \]

where:

\( S_f \) = friction slope, ft/ft, which is also the slope of the HGL

Minor losses, \( h_m \), shall be calculated by:

\[ h_m = k \cdot \frac{v^2}{2g} \]

where:

\( k \) = Coefficient as shown in Table 5603-2

A step-by-step procedure for manual calculation of the EGL using the energy loss method is presented in Section 7.5 of (FHWA, 1996). For most drainage systems, computer methods such as HYDRA, StormCAD, CulvertMaster, or SWMM are the most efficient means of evaluating the EGL and designing the system elements.

**B. Culverts**: Classified as having either entrance or outlet control. Either the inlet opening (entrance control), or friction loss within the culvert or backwater from the downstream system (outlet control) will control the discharge capacity.

1. **Entrance Control**. Entrance control occurs when the culvert is hydraulically short (when the culvert is not flowing full) and steep. Flow at the entrance would be critical as the water falls over the brink. If the tailwater covers the culvert completely (i.e., a submerged exit), the culvert will be full at that point, even though the inlet control forces the culvert to be only partially full at the inlet. The transition from partially full to full occurs in a hydraulic jump, the location of which depends on the flow resistance and water levels. If the flow resistance is very high, or if the headwater and tailwater levels are high enough, the jump will occur close to or at the entrance. Design variables for culverts operating under entrance control shall be determined from Figures 5603-1 through 5603-7.

2. **Outlet Control**. If the flow in a culvert is full for its entire length, then the flow is said to be under outlet control. The discharge will be a function of the differences in tailwater and headwater levels, as well as the flow resistance along the barrel length. Design variables for culverts operating under outlet
control shall be determined from Figures 5603-8 through 5603-14.

Alternatively, refer to the Federal Highway Administration website for these charts (www.fhwa.dot.gov/bridge/hec05.pdf). Download applicable design manuals, reports, and FHWA hydraulics engineering such as Bridge Waterways Analysis Model (WSPRO), FHWA Culvert Analysis, and HDS 5 Hydraulic Design of Highway Culverts from www.fhwa.dot.gov/bridge/hydsoft.htm. These are applicable when flow in the upstream channel is subcritical.

C. **Open Channels/Bridges:** Proper evaluation of the velocity, depth, and width of flow requires analyses of the structures and conditions that impact the flow. Boundary flow conditions upstream and downstream from the open channel system must be established. The standard-step backwater method, using the energy equation, can be used to determine the depth, velocity, and width of flow. Major stream obstructions, changes in slope, changes in cross-section, and other flow controls can cause significant energy loss. In these cases, the energy equation does not apply and the momentum equation must be used to determine the depth, velocity, and width of flow.

Hydraulic calculations for open channels may also be made by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer’s ‘HEC-2 Water Surface Profiles’ or ‘HEC-RAS River Analysis System’ computer programs. The HEC-2 program computes water surface profiles for one-dimensional steady, gradually varied flow in rivers of any cross section. HEC-RAS is an integrated system of software, designed for interactive use in a multi-tasking, multi-user network environment. The system has separate hydraulic analysis components, data storage and management capabilities, graphics and reporting facilities. The HEC-RAS system is intended for calculating water surface profiles for steady and unsteady gradually varied flow. The system can handle a full network of channels, a dendritic system, or a single river reach. Like HEC-2, HEC-RAS is capable of modeling subcritical, supercritical, and mixed flow regime water surface profiles. (from www.hec.usace.army.mil).

**5603.2 Analysis of Systems by Computer Models:**

The following list provides the commonly used computer programs for analyzing specific hydraulic systems. This is not an exhaustive list and alternates may be used with approval by the City/County Engineer.

**Enclosed pipe systems in gravity flow:**
SWMM Transport (EPA)
HYDRA (FHWA)
StormCad (Haested Methods)
DR3M (USGS)
**Enclosed pipe systems in pressure flow:**
SWMM EXTRAN (EPA)
MOUSE (DHI)
HYDRA (FHWA)
StormCad (Haested Methods)

**Culverts:**
HY8 (FHWA)
WSPRO (USGS)
CulvertMaster (Haested Methods)

**Open Channels and Culverts/Bridges:**
HEC-2 (USACE)
HEC-RAS (USACE)
WSPRO (USGS)
HYCHL (FHWA)
SWMM Transport and EXTRAN (EPA)
DR3M (USGS)
SECTION 5604 INLETS, MANHOLES, AND JUNCTION BOXES

5604.1 Inlet Design:

A. Type: Only curb opening inlets shall be used on public streets, except as approved by the City/County Engineer.

B. Configuration: These minimum dimensions (illustrated by Figure 5604-1) apply to either the lazy-back or steep-face type curbs:

- Opening length, inside: 4.0 ft (min)
- Width, perpendicular to curb line, inside: 3.0 ft (min)
- Setback curb line to face: 1.0 ft (min)
- Opening, clear height: 6.0 in. (min)
- Gutter depression at inlet: 6 ¼ in. (min)
- Gutter transition length:
  - (a) Both sides in sump and upstream side on slopes: 5.0 ft (min)
  - (b) Downstream on slopes: 3.0 ft (min)

C. Design Method: Inlet capacity shall be rated at 80 percent of the theoretical interception ratio to allow for partial obstruction and clogging. Inlets shall be designed according to the procedures outlined in Figures 5604-2 through 5604-38. Figures 5604-2 through 5604-37 describe inlet efficiency as a function of street slope (See Figure 5604-3 for design example). Figure 5604-38 describes inlet capacity for sump regions. “Type A Curb” and “Type B Curb”, as indicated on these figures, refers to the lazy-back and steep-face style curbs, respectively.

5604.2 Gutter Flow:

Inlets shall be located to limit the width of flow in street gutters at the time of peak discharge for the design storm to the following limits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BACK TO BACK OF CURB STREET WIDTH IN FEET</th>
<th>MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE SPREAD IN EACH OUTSIDE CURB LANE FROM BACK OF CURB IN FEET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28 or Less</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 28 to 36</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 36</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divided Roadways</td>
<td>As Above for Each Direction on Each Roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial and Collector Street Intersections</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Crosswalks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5604.3 Gutter Capacity:

Izzard's Formula shall be used to determine gutter capacity (see Figure 5604-39 for graphical solution):

\[
Q = \frac{0.56z \cdot S^{1/2} \cdot D^{8/3}}{n}
\]

where:

- \( Q \) = The gutter capacity in cubic feet per second
- \( z \) = The reciprocal of the average cross-slope, including gutter section, in feet per foot
- \( S \) = The longitudinal street grade in feet per foot
- \( D \) = The depth of flow at curb face in feet
- \( n \) = Manning's "n", see Table 5603-1

5604.4 Freeboard Requirements:

Any opening which surface water is intended to enter (or may backflow from) the system shall be 0.5 feet or more above an elevation calculated as follows:

1. Invert elevation of the outlet channel (pipe) of the structure plus;
2. Depth (diameter) of the outlet channel (pipe) plus;
3. \( h_m \) minor losses as determined by Section 5603.1, with flow calculated at the design frequency of the system being evaluated. When 50 percent or more of the discharge enters the structure from the surface, “k” shall be 1.0.

5604.5 Inverts and Pipes:

The crown(s) of pipe(s) entering a drainage structure shall be at or above the crown of the pipe exiting from the structure and provide a minimum fall of the invert in the structure of 0.2 feet for straight flow through the structure or 0.5 feet fall for all other types of flow (bends more than 22.5 deflection angle, multiple lines entering, enlargement transition, etc.) through the structure. The desirable minimum fall across the invert is 0.5 feet. Alternatively, the crowns of the pipes may be at or above the EGL of normal flow at design frequency.

The maximum spacing between manholes shall be 500 feet.
5604.6 **Street Grade on Vertical Curves:**

The following formula shall be used to determine the street grade (Sx) at any point on a vertical curve using plus for grades ascending forward and minus for grades descending forward, in feet per foot.

\[
S_x = S_1 + \frac{x \cdot (S_2 - S_1)}{L}
\]

where:

- \(S_x\) = The street grade on a vertical curve at point \(x\), in feet per foot
- \(S_1\) = The street grade at the PC of a vertical curve, in feet per foot
- \(S_2\) = The street grade at the PT of a vertical curve, in feet per foot
- \(x\) = The distance measured from the PC to point \(x\) on a vertical curve, in feet
- \(L\) = The total length of a vertical curve, in feet

5604.7 **Loading Conditions for Structures:**

Shall be in accordance with Section 5710.3.
SECTION 5605 NATURAL STREAMS

5605.1 Scope:

This section sets forth requirements for the protection of natural streams as a conveyance for stormwater. Unless otherwise provided for by City, State, or Federal ordinance, regulation, or standards, existing natural streams shall be preserved and protected in accordance with this section. Where natural streams are not preserved, the drainage will be handled through systems designed in accordance with Sections 5606 or 5607.

5605.2 Natural Stream Benefits and Characteristics:

Natural streams provide numerous water quality, ecological, and quality of life benefits. Protection and preservation of natural streams is a national environmental objective, as set forth in the Clean Water Act. Streams and their associated wetlands provide critical habitat for plants and wildlife, water quality treatment, and improved infiltration of rainfall which lessens flood impacts, recharges groundwater, and preserves baseflow. Streams provide recreational and open space in communities, improve aesthetics, provide natural landscapes, and enhance adjacent property values. Stable streams in nature maintain a shape in plan, profile, and section that most efficiently transports the water and sediment supplied to them. The geometry and processes of natural streams involve unique terminology and concepts not common to engineered channels or pipe systems. Common features of stream geometry and characteristics are presented in Figure 5605–1. Certain definitions are contained in Section 5601. More complete information regarding the character and function of natural streams is given in Interagency (2001).

5605.3 Stream Preservation and Buffers Zones:

A. Recommended Approach: It is recommended that Cities adopt comprehensive stream preservation and buffer zone requirements as part of their master plan and enforce those policies during the planning phase of land development. Requirements may be selected to protect environmental and quality of life benefits and be tailored to local geography and natural resources. The size of buffers may be adjusted to reflect local experience with stream migration and stability, protection of adjacent wetlands or critical habitat, or water quality treatment. Guidance on stream protection is given in Wegner (1999), National Academy of Sciences (1999), and Heraty (1995). Natural streams should be preserved as systems and not segmented on a project-by-project basis, as the frequent intermixing of natural and man-made systems tends to degrade the function of both.

B. Default Approach: Where such comprehensive strategies have not been adopted, the following requirements shall be satisfied for all development/redevelopment proposed adjacent to or ultimately discharging to an existing natural channel:

1. Streams having a tributary area in excess of 40 acres shall be preserved. Preservation of smaller streams is encouraged. Preservation may be waived
by the City/County Engineer where it is impractical, provided that the project has also received appropriate state and federal permits.

2. Buffer zones shall be established around all preserved streams. The limit of buffer zones shall be formally designated on a plat, deed, easement, or restrictive covenant, as directed by the City. Buffer widths as measured from the ordinary high water mark (OHM) outward in each direction shall exceed the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributing drainage basin size (acres)</th>
<th>Buffer width, from OHM outwards, measured separately in each direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 40 acres</td>
<td>40 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 acres to 160 acres</td>
<td>60 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160 acres to 5000 acres</td>
<td>100 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 5000 acres</td>
<td>120 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The City/County Engineer may require wider buffers for less stable stream or special conditions to address water quality and ecological needs. These widths provide only moderate allowance for widening or migration in local streams of average stability. Geotechnical studies may be required if there is a risk of slope failure due to underlying soil or rock materials, and the buffer width shall be expanded to contain the zone of failure. Smaller buffers in isolated locations may be allowed where provision of the full width is impractical and bank stability concerns have been addressed.

4. No construction or disturbance of any type, including clearing, grubbing, stripping, fill, excavation, linear grading, paving, or building is allowed in the buffer zone except by permission of the City/County Engineer. Dense stands of native vegetation shall be maintained, particularly in the 25 feet closest to the top of bank.

5. Unless otherwise accepted by the City/County, any maintenance of riparian buffers shall be the responsibility of the property owner.

6. For work on existing facilities already located closer to the stream than allowed above, the new construction shall not encroach closer to the stream. Bank stability concerns shall be addressed. Formal designation of a buffer zone is not required.

**5605.4 In Stream Construction - General Requirements:**

Construction in streams or their buffer zones shall conform to the general requirements of this subsection and to the appropriate specific requirements of the subsections following:

A. Stream Assessment: A stream assessment shall be conducted in accordance with Section 5605.5 for all construction within the buffer zone except for discharge outfalls, unless otherwise directed by the City/County Engineer.
B. Energy Management: The pre-project and post-project hydraulic and energy grade lines for the 50%, 10%, 4% and 1% flows shall be plotted. The region of a stream where in-stream construction causes a change in these grade lines is considered the zone of influence. The extent of the zone of influence downstream shall be generally limited by energy dissipation and grade control. The upstream limit of the zone may extend a distance beyond the construction as a drawdown or backwater curve. Within the zone of influence, the energy of the flow on the channel will be evaluated for the potential of excessive scour, deposition, initiation of headcuts, or other instability. Use of vegetation to increase bank resistance and minimize increases or abrupt changes in velocities is recommended. Bank or bed stabilization may be required in areas of unavoidable velocity or depth increase.

C. Sediment Transport Continuity: The minimum post-project applied shear to the bed of the channel in the zone of influence at the 50%, 10%, and 4% ultimate-conditions flow shall not be less than 90% of the minimum pre-project applied shear in the zone, so as to maintain the ability of the channel to transport sediment. If such shear stresses cannot be maintained, the engineer will evaluate the potential for future sediment removal or maintenance.

D. Transitions: In-stream structures shall be designed to gradually blend into the natural channel and provide a smooth transition of both geometry and roughness.

E. Repair of Disturbed Banks: The side slopes of banks where construction occurs shall be restored with vegetation in accordance with Section 5605-13 as quickly as possible.

F. Professional Judgment: Natural streams are complex, variable, and strongly governed by local geology and climate. These standards are based on general guidelines of good practice on typical local streams and may not be optimal or sufficient in all cases. Specific requirements may be increased or waived by the City/County Engineer if conditions warrant and decisions should be guided by prudent engineering judgment.

5605.5 Stream Assessment:

When conducted, a stream assessment will extend a minimum of one wavelength up and downstream of the area to be impacted by construction. It shall include the components listed below, except modified by the City/County Engineer to better fit project needs. An example submittal is shown in Figure 5605-2.
A. Plan Form Analyses and Inventory: The plan-view of the natural stream using aerial photographs or planning-level aerial survey shall be plotted to an appropriate scale. Field surveys of the entire reach study area is not required. The following items shall be shown:

- Ordinary high water mark
- Top of bank
- Ground contours (if available)
- "Bank-full" and 1% ultimate-conditions floodplain (see paragraph B)
- Thalweg, locations of riffles and pools, and spacing between riffles (see paragraph C)
- Exposed bedrock, areas of differing bed and bank soil or rock materials, and the D50 and shear stress ratio at each riffle (see paragraph D)
- Active scour and depositional areas, point bars, and islands
- Vegetation within the buffer zone, called out as mowed grass, mowed with trees, unmowed grass and plants, wooded, and bare. Trees greater than 6" diameter within 25 feet of the top of bank shall be located individually or by group. The species of dominant trees should be noted.
- Meander length, wavelength, meander amplitude, bank-full width, and radius of curvature for each bend.
- Total meander and valley length and sinuosity for the reach
- Photographs of main channel, streamside vegetation, and each riffle, appropriately referenced to plan-view location.

B. Bank-full Width, Depth and Discharge: If directed by the City/County Engineer, the geomorphic "bank-full" width, depth, and discharge shall be estimated using field indicators as detailed in Chapter 7 of USDA (1994). If field indicators are not used, "bank-full" flow shall be estimated as the rural-conditions 50% flow, and the bank-full width and depth estimated based on the dimensions of that flow through the existing channel. This assumption is intended to provide a rough upper estimate of the bank-full flow.

C. Longitudinal Profile and Sections: The elevations of the profile along the thalweg shall be field surveyed to the nearest 0.1 ft. and the following features noted: riffles, pools, exposed bed rock, and advancing headcuts (areas of bed elevation change that appear to be actively migrating upstream). The top of left and right bank and any field indicators of bank-full flow such as limits of woody vegetation or top of point bars shall be plotted at correct elevation along the profile. The bank-full flow and 1% ultimate flow profiles shall be plotted. One field cross section shall be surveyed through each pool and riffle, and the depth and width of bank-full flow and 1% ultimate conditions floodplain shall be shown on each section.

D. Bed and Bank Materials Analyses: The type of rock exposed in the bed and banks shall be identified. Bank soils shall be reported by Uniform Soil Classification using
the visual-manual procedures (ASTM D 2488-00). The median (D50) particle size shall be determined using the Wolman Pebble Count Method (USDA, 1994, Chapter 11). A shear stress ratio shall be calculated for each riffle based on the applied shear at bank-full flow divided by the critical shear of the D50 particle in the riffle, using methods and tables described below.

E. Critical Shear Stress Analysis: The Shear stress ratio must be less than one at the extreme downstream point of any development in accordance with the guidelines below:

1. The average applied shear stress (\( \tau_o \)) may be calculated from the hydraulic data as follows:

\[
\tau_o = \gamma RS
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the specific weight of water (62.4 pcf), \( R \) is the hydraulic radius at bank-full flow, and \( S \) is the water surface slope along the main channel bank-full flow, averaged over several bends in the area of the intervention. Effective flow may be calculated using methods described in detail in USACE 2001 or may be assumed to be equivalent to the 50% storm.

2. The critical shear stress, \( \tau_c \), is that at which particles in the bed or bank are entrained and scour ensues. Shield’s method is used for calculating the critical shear stress of spherical, non-cohesive particles, as follows:

\[
\tau_c = \Theta (\gamma_s - \gamma) D_{50}
\]

where \( \gamma_s \) is the specific weight of sediment, \( \gamma \) is specific weight of water (62.4 lb/ft^3), \( D_{50} \) is the median particle size in the surface layer of bed or banks, and \( \Theta \) is the Shield’s parameter (0.06 for gravel to cobble, 0.044 for sand)

There are limited methods for calculating \( \tau_c \) for fine-grained material. Field or laboratory testing generally determines the critical shear stress for these materials. The most widely available source is Chow (1988). Table 7-3, p. 165 is particularly relevant. More recently, the USDA Agricultural Research Service National Sedimentation Laboratory has developed computer software for calculating toe scour (ARS Bank-Toe Erosion Model, Prototype, August 2001). As part of that software, there are look-up tables. The combination of these two sources is presented in Table 1. Critical shear stress may also be determined from Urban Water Resources Research Council (1992), Figure 9.6, p. 335.

In lieu of calculated values, the \( \tau_c \) from Table 5605-1 may be used. Table 1 presents critical shear for sediment-laden water and where noted, clear water. The user must exercise judgment as to future conditions. Clear water values
may be used below a heavily piped area, concrete channels designed to contain the future flows or immediately below a managed detention pond.

3. The ratio of average boundary stress to critical stress is the shear stress ratio:

\[
\text{shear stress ratio} = \frac{\tau_o}{\tau_c}
\]

If bed and bank materials are distinct, then the shear stress ratio should be calculated for each. If the shear stress ratio of either stream bed or bank is greater than one, the channel is prone to near-term adjustment and any interventions should be designed to prevent accelerated erosion. If the bed consists of rock, then the shear stress ratio is not applicable, unless the rock is prone to fracturing, slaking, or break-up, in which case the median size of particle should be used for calculation of the ratio.

F. Plan-Form Ratios: The following ratios shall be calculated, and those that lie outside the typical range shall be noted. Streams are highly variable and ratios outside these ranges do not necessarily indicate problems:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Typical Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meander length / Wavelength (sinuosity)</td>
<td>1.1 to 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meander length / Bank-full width</td>
<td>10 to 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of curvature / Bank-full width</td>
<td>2 to 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riffle Spacing / Bank-full width</td>
<td>5 to 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G. Channel Condition Scoring Matrix: Using information summarized above, the channel condition scoring matrix given in Table 5605-2 shall be completed. A rating of 12 indicates a stream of moderate stability that will likely require only standard levels of protection during construction. A rating between 12 and 18 indicates that special measures may be necessary address those issues rated as poor in the assessment. Streams with a rating greater than 18 may exhibit significant system-wide instability and should be studied in more detail by experts in river engineering and fluvial geomorphology. (This scoring system is newly developed and its results shall be considered provisional.)

5605.6 Discharge Outfalls:

Discharge points for inflows from enclosed systems or constructed channels shall be designed as one of the following. Energy management and sediment continuity checks are not required; however, energy dissipation shall be provide to reduce post-development shear stress to pre-development shear stress at the outfall:
A. Primary outfalls are those where the entire upstream channel is replaced by an enclosed system or constructed channel which discharges flow in line with the direction of the downstream segment. Energy dissipation should be provided at the outlet to reduce velocities. Grade control downstream of the outlet and energy dissipater should be provided to prevent undermining of the outfall by future headcuts. The alignment and location of the outfall and associated energy dissipater and grade control should make a smooth transition into the downstream channel. Primary outfalls shall be used whenever the contributing drainage area of the outfalls is greater than 80% of the downstream channel.

B. Tributary outfalls are primary outfalls located on a tributary to a larger downstream segment. Energy dissipation and transition to natural stream flow should take place in the tributary at least one channel width upstream of the confluence. Grade control in the tributary upstream of the confluence shall be provided if the tributary flow line is higher than the adjoining channel or if future incision of the adjoining channel is anticipated. Tributary outfalls may be used in all situations of tributary flow.

C. Lateral outfalls are small outfalls that discharge from the banks of a natural stream. Outfalls shall be located to enter on a riffle or from the outside of a bend, but should generally not enter from the inside of a bend. Outfall pipes shall be oriented perpendicular to the flow of the stream with the invert at or slightly below top of the next downstream riffle. Outfalls shall be flush with or setback from the bank. The bank shall be shaped to provide a smooth transition and protected with reinforced vegetation (preferred) or rip-rap. If the outfall is in a bend, it shall be set back from the existing bank a sufficient distance to account for future meander migration, and the transition shall be graded and reinforced with vegetation. Rip-rap or hard armor protection should not be used in a bend. Perpendicular outfalls may only be used when the contributing drainage area of the outfall is less than 40% of that in the downstream channel.

D. Edge-of-buffer outfalls are discharge points in the outer half of the riparian buffer that return the discharge to diffused overland flow. Outfalls shall be designed to spread flow and allow overland flow and infiltration to occur. Overland flow shall be directed to run in the outer portion of the buffer parallel to the channel direction to increase length of flow and prevent short-circuiting directly into the stream. Low weirs and berms may be graded to direct flow and encourage short-term ponding. The buffer zone utilized for infiltration shall be maintained in dense, erosion-resistant grasses or grasses reinforced with turf-reinforcing mats designed to withstand the shear stresses of a 10% storm. Edge-of-buffer outfalls that are part of a system of upland drainage using multiple small, distributed overland swales and ditches instead of pipes may provide significant infiltration and water quality treatment. Edge-of-buffer outfalls shall only be used if each individual outfall can be designed to operate without scour or the formation of gullies.
5605.7 Culverts, Bridges, and Above Grade Crossings:

A. Crossings should generally be located on a riffle. If the width of the crossing is large relative to the length of the riffle, then grade control structures shall be provided at the riffles upstream and downstream to isolate the impact of the crossings. If a crossing cannot be made at a riffle, avoid armoring a pool and place at-grade grade control structures at the riffle immediately upstream and downstream of the crossing. Maintain sediment transport continuity and avoid altering the channel cross-section.

B. Realignment of channels to accommodate crossings and their approach should be avoided and minimized as much as possible. Any areas relocated shall have the banks stabilized in accordance with 5605.13 and shall be included in the reach isolated by upstream and downstream grade control.

C. For bridges the multi-stage channel shape should be maintained and additional area to convey the design flow shall be above the elevation of the bank-full discharge.

D. For multi-cell pipe and culvert crossings that have a cumulative width larger than the bank-full width, those cells wider than the bank-full width shall have a flowline located at the lowest estimated bank-full depth, or a weir wall or other structure upstream of the culvert opening shall be installed with a height to prevent access to the cell during flows less than bank-full flow. The weir wall shall be designed so that the hydraulic efficiency at the 1% ultimate conditions is not reduced. Without these features, the culvert may have a tendency to build up deposits and lose capacity or require frequent maintenance, particularly when crossings are located in sharp bends or streams with high sediment loads.

E. Culverts shall be designed so that there is minimal backwater effect at all flows up to the 4% discharge. Energy management and sediment transport continuity shall be checked.

5605.8 Below Grade Stream Crossings:

A. Below grade stream crossings primarily include utility pipelines. Crossings should generally be at riffles and grade control structures constructed at the riffle, in addition to or constructed integrally with any encasement of the line the utility may require.

B. If riffle crossing is not feasible, the crossing should be in a pool that is protected by a downstream grade control structure. The top of crossing elevation should be at least two feet below the top of grade control. Crossings under pools should not be armored directly, but are protected by downstream grade control.

C. Below grade crossings shall be perpendicular to the stream whenever possible. If a perpendicular crossing is not feasible, the grade control protecting the crossing shall be perpendicular.
D. Constriction or alteration of the pre-existing channel shape shall be avoided. If alteration occurs, sediment transport continuity and energy management shall be verified. Stream banks shall be repaired using vegetative methods whenever possible and the hydraulic roughness of the repaired stream bank should match that of the undisturbed stream banks.

5605.9 Energy Dissipation:

A. Where energy dissipaters are required, they shall be designed in accordance with the methods described in USBR (1974), FHWA (1983), or USACE (1987).

B. Construction of new energy dissipaters may be waived by the City/County Engineer if it is determined that the downstream channel is adequate to accept the applied discharges.

C. Grade control shall be generally be provided downstream of the dissipater or shall be constructed integrally with it.

D. When energy dissipation is not part of a larger project, energy management and sediment continuity checks are not required.

5605.10 Grade Control:

A. Where grade control structures are required, they shall be placed in locations where the stream bed profile will support the creation or continuance of a riffle. The flowline of the grade control shall match the existing riffle.

B. Where stream slope is less than 2%, the Newberry-style grade control structure detailed in Figure 5605-3 is recommended. Structures shall be constructed from durable stone sized using USACE methodology for steep channels (USACE EM 1110-2-1601, page 3-8, Equation 3-5). Rock shall generally comply with USACE gradations as given in (USACE EM 1110-2-1601, Hydraulic Design of Flood Control Channels, Chapter 3). Shotrock with sufficient fines to fill voids may be used. The use of filter fabric and uniform gradations of stone are discouraged in stream beds.

C. Where grades are in excess of 2%, low-drop step structures should be used.

D. Alternate styles of grade control may be approved by the City/County Engineer. Guidance for grade control design is given in Thomas et.al.

E. Construction of new grade controls structures may be waived by the City/County Engineer if it is determined that existing riffles are adequate to prevent or retard advancing headcuts, or if it is preferable to accept the risk of future headcut than to further disturb the channel.
F. When grade control is not part of a larger project, energy management and sediment continuity checks are not required.

5605.11 Floodplain Fills:

No fill shall be placed within the bank-full floodplain, nor should fill be placed within the designated buffer zone. Fill placed outside these limits shall not cause an rise in the floodplain of the 1% ultimate conditions floodplain beyond the limits of the property controlled by the developer, unless authorized by the City/County Engineer. Fills placed within floodplain designated as a special flood hazard area by FEMA shall conform to FEMA and community floodplain management requirements. Energy management and sediment transport continuity shall be checked.

5605.12 Flood Control Projects:

A. The flood control projects that increase conveyance capacity in natural streams should generally be limited to cases where existing buildings or infrastructure face significant damage or life and safety issues. Projects to lower floodplain elevations to facilitate new development near streams at lowered elevations should be avoided.

B. The portion of the channel within the bank-full floodplain should be left undisturbed if possible, with conveyance increases primarily from excavation of a larger cross sectional area in the over-bank. Excavated areas within the buffer zone should be revegetated with dense, native-type vegetation. Reducing roughness in the over-bank by paving or mowing to increase velocities should be avoided.

C. If adequate conveyance cannot be provided using the methods above, then excavation of the over-bank below the bank-full floodplain and/or reduction in over-bank roughness may be necessary. Energy management and sediment continuity should be checked. Effort should be made to avoid direct widening of the active channel at low flows and to minimize disturbance of the active channel and nearest adjacent stream-side vegetation.

5605.13 Bank Stabilization Projects:

A. Bank stabilization projects should generally be limited to cases where existing buildings or infrastructure face significant property damage or safety issues. Projects to stabilize banks to facilitate reductions in buffer widths for new construction should be avoided.

B. Prior to stabilization, the causes of the instability should be considered, including the stream’s current phase of channel evolution (Interagency, 2001, Chapter 7) and direction of meander migration. Stabilization may be unnecessary if a channel has ceased incision and widening and is in the process of deposition and restoration. If stability issues appear widespread or complex, a systematic evaluation of the stream
system by professionals with expertise in river engineering and fluvial geomorphology may be justified.

C. Instability caused by geotechnical failure (slumping of banks due to weak soils in the adjacent slopes) shall be distinguished from fluvial failure (erosion of banks caused by stream flows). For geotechnical issues, a geotechnical engineer shall evaluate the slope stability. Geotechnical designs shall provide for a 1.5 factor of safety (ratio of theoretical resisting forces to driving forces) against slope failure where it would endanger buildings, roadways, or other infrastructure, unless a lower factor of safety is approved by the City/County Engineer.

D. Bank stability projects should have a design life greater than the useful life of the facility being protected, or a life cycle cost analyses shall be performed that considers replacement and repair over the entire protection period. Responsible parties for future maintenance should be identified.

E. Stabilization should begin and end at stable locations along the bank. Bank stabilization should be limited to areas of potential erosion and are rarely required on the inside of bends. For long projects, stabilization may alternate from side to side and is rarely necessary across an entire cross section. The existing cross section should be mimicked to the extent practical and need not be planar or uniform over the entire length. Grade control shall be provided at the riffle both upstream and downstream of the stabilization to isolate it from the surrounding stream and protect the foundation from undercutting. Control at intermediate points for longer projects may also be required. Energy management and sediment transport continuity shall be checked, and energy dissipation provided if necessary.

F. "Hard-Armor" projects are those projects that use rip-rap, placed stone, gabions, retaining walls, or other rigid structures to provide geotechnical and fluvial stability. Such projects shall be designed in accordance with EM 1110-2-1205 (USACE, 1989), EM1110-2-1601 (USACE, 1994), or HEC-11 (FHWA 1989). Materials shall be sized to prevent dislodgement in the 1% storm. Gradation should comply with USACE or FHWA recommendations. Stones should be placed to maintain roughness and variations. All material shall be well placed to ensure interlock and stability. Materials shall be keyed into the bed and banks with adequate allowance for scour along the toe and the structure should have adequate foundation. Vertical walls should be avoided when possible as they tend to concentrate scour at their toe and are typically smoother than the natural channel.

G. Soil bioengineering involves the use of living vegetation in combination with soil reinforcing agents such as geogrids to provide bank stabilization by increasing soil shear resistance, dewatering saturated soils, and by reducing local shear stresses through increased hydraulic roughness.

1. Bio-engineering projects shall be designed in accordance with the principals of NRCS (1996) and Gray and Sotir (1996). Designs will be tailored to the urban environment by consideration of the requirement for immediate functionality upon construction, the extreme variability and high shear stress
of urban flows and the availability of mechanized equipment and skilled operators.

2. Selection of plants and specifications for planting methods and soil amendments shall be prepared by a professional competent in the biological and stabilization properties of plants.

3. Plants selected shall be appropriate to local conditions and shall be native varieties to the greatest extent practical. Evaluation of local conditions includes assessment of site microclimate, bank slope, soil composition, strength and fertility, type and condition of existing vegetation, proximity to existing infrastructure, soil moisture conditions and likelihood of wildlife predation. Engineering factors influencing plant selection include frequency, height and duration of inundation, near-bank shear stress, size and volume of bed load as well as depth and frequency of scour.

4. Plants may be either locally harvested or purchased from commercial nurseries. When harvesting, no more than 10% of a given stand may be removed and no plant on the state rare or endangered species list may be harvested or damaged in harvesting operations. Plant material grown near the metropolitan area is adapted to local climatic conditions and is preferred over more remote sources. Some species such as red maple are particularly sensitive to locale and may only be used if locally available. Seed, plant plugs, rhizomes, whips, live stakes, bare root and container stock may be used. Turf grasses, noxious or invasive species shall not be used. A variety of plant species shall be used to provide greater reliability to a design. For critical functions such as protection from toe scour a minimum of three species should generally be employed.

5. Soil bioengineering methods are properly applied in the context of a relatively stable stream system, and relevant general requirements for all stream bank stabilization projects given in this section apply to bio-engineered projects. Soil bioengineering alone is not appropriate when the zone of weakness lies below the root zone of the plantings, or when rapid draw down can occur, such as in a spillway or dam embankment.

H. Composite methods are those which employ both hard armor and soil bio-engineering. Typically, armor for toe protection in critical locations is provided, with soil-bioengineering for the remainder. Design principals for both hard armor and soil-bioengineering shall be observed as appropriate.

I. In-stream Stability Structures: In-stream structures are used to focus flow, control grade, dissipate energy and selectively lower near-bank stress. Stream barbs, weirs, guide vanes, vegetative sills, longitudinal peak stone, and grade controls are among the more commonly used in-stream structures. When constructed of natural material such as rock, such structures also create aquatic habitat. They may be used alone or in combination with hard armor, bio-engineering or composite methods. In-stream structure design is a river engineering practice and is beyond the scope of this
standard. Preliminary guidance and references for the design of some common structures is given in Castro (1999) and Interagency (2001), Chapter 8 and Appendix A.

5605.14 Stream Restoration:

Restoration of urban streams is defined as the re-establishment of natural channel geometry, materials and vegetative buffers with the intent of restoring natural geometry and functions to streams that have been disturbed or eliminated. While there are significant potential ecological and quality of life benefits from stream restoration, successful design is data-intensive and requires an interdisciplinary approach. Design of stream restoration projects is beyond the scope of this standard. Interagency (2001) describes the general procedures, benefits, and requirements of stream restoration.

5605.15 Comprehensive Stream Management:

The standards set forth in preceding sections provide a moderate degree of mitigation for potential damages from individual construction projects in streams of average stability. For more sensitive streams or to obtain a greater degree of protection, Cities or Counties may elect to implement comprehensive strategies for stream management. Such strategies should be based on specific investigations of the particular streams and watershed in the City and consider local geology, geography, climate, and ecology. Strategies may include optimized or County stream buffers (see section 5605.3), hydrology controls, and comprehensive grade control. Detailed requirements for such studies and strategies is beyond the scope of this standard, and should be developed in consultation with professionals competent in river engineering and fluvial geomorphology. The following general recommendations may be considered:

A. Hydrology Controls for Channel Protection: Channels respond to changes in flow volumes and recurrence by altering width, depth, velocity, suspended load, meander radius, wavelength and pool and riffle. Avoiding significant changes in flow volume and recurrence should reduce the likelihood of major changes in stream form. Volume control may include practices that encourage infiltration, evapotranspiration, and short-term detention or retention. A successful strategy would require limitations on volume, duration and magnitude of post development discharges at a number of discharge points, including common storms such as the 50% storm. The tail of hydrographs would probably need to mimic groundwater baseflow. The cumulative effect of multiple detention/retention structures on duration of high flows would be considered. The impact of large impoundments or retention lakes on trapping sediment and interrupting sediment transport would be considered. Volume control for channel protection would likely require significantly different control requirements than traditional detention practices that focused primarily on flood control from extreme events.

B. Grade Control: In watersheds subject to deep, rapid, and extensive incision or downcutting, a comprehensive program of controlling bed elevation (grade control) may be the most practical method of preserving stream function and avoiding future
bank stability concerns. Streams with easily eroded soils and lacking in shallow bedrock are highly susceptible to extensive degradation. Existing and proposed crossing points such as culverts, bridges, and encased underground utilities should be incorporated into the program. Selection of grade elevations would be based on historical data, flooding or space constraints, restoration of wetlands and streambank hydrology, channel depths, and other relevant data.
SECTION 5606  ENCLOSED PIPE SYSTEMS

5606.1 Easements:

Permanent easements shall be dedicated to the City/County for operation and maintenance of the storm drainage facilities. Easement width shall not be less than 15 feet, or the outside width of the pipe or conveyance structure plus 10 feet; whichever is greater. Easements shall be centered on the pipe.

A. **Permanent:** The City/County Engineer may require wider easements when other utilities are located within the same easement and/or when the depth of cover is greater than 4 feet.

B. **Temporary:** Temporary construction easements of sufficient width to provide access for construction shall be acquired when the proposed work is located in areas developed prior to construction.

5606.2 Capacity:

Capacity shall be determined in accordance with Section 5603. Minimum design pipe size shall be 15-inch in diameter. For partially full pipe flow, Figure 5606-1 can be used to obtain hydraulic parameters of the flow.

5606.3 Surcharge:

An enclosed system may be designed to operate with surcharge if the following conditions are met:

1. The Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) must be 0.5 feet below any openings to the ground or street at all locations.

2. Watertight joints capable of withstanding the internal surcharge pressure are used in the construction.

3. Appropriate energy losses for bends, transitions, manholes, inlets, and outlets, are used in computing the HGL.

4. Energy methods (Bernoulli's equation) must be used for the computations.

5606.4 Energy Dissipation:

The outfall of all enclosed systems shall be designed so that the exiting boundary shear does not exceed critical shear for the receiving stream. See Tables 5605-1 and 5606-2 for critical stress values of various receiving materials.
Effective energy dissipating structures shall be provided if necessary to meet the requirements stated in Tables 5605-1 and 5606-1. Examples of energy dissipating structures are:

- Baffled and Bucket Spillways
- Check Dams
- Deflector Buckets
- Hydraulic Jump Basins
- Impact Baffle Basins
- Level Spreaders
- Plunge Pool and Plunge Basin
- Slotted-Grating Dissipaters
- Stilling Basins

The suitability of each method is site dependent and subject to approval by the City/County Engineer. Energy dissipaters shall be designed according to the criteria and procedures defined in professionally acceptable references. Two such references include:


**5606.5 Velocity Within the System:**

The velocity within the system shall be between 3 and 20 feet per second.

**5606.6 Loading:**

A. **Cover:** Minimum depth of cover shall be 18 inches.

B. Minimum Loading Conditions:


2. Unit Weight of soil cover: 120 pcf.

3. Rigid pipes shall be bedded and backfilled to provide a minimum factor of safety of 1.5 at the 0.01-inch crack loading condition.
SECTION 5607 ENGINEERED CHANNELS

5607.1 Introduction:

The criteria in this section apply to open channels that are not natural. Natural channels are covered in Section 5605. New buffers and filter strips are not required because engineered channels are strictly for conveyance.

5607.2 Easements:

Permanent easements shall be dedicated to the City/County for operation and maintenance of open channels.

A. Engineered Channels: Easements shall be as wide as the top of bank width; plus 10 feet on each side. Easements shall be continuous between street rights-of-way. When an improved channel begins or ends at a point other than the right-of-way of a dedicated street, a 15-foot or wider easement graded so as to permit access by truck shall be dedicated from the end of the channel to a street right-of-way. These are minimum requirements.

Easements shall be required for swales that collect stormwater runoff from more than two lots.

B. Roadside Channels: Roadside ditches are engineered channels that are located wholly or partly within the street right-of-way. Roadside ditches in the street right-of-way do not require an easement. Otherwise, roadside ditches shall have a dedicated easement from the street right-of-way extending to five feet outside of the top of the outside bank of the channel.

5607.3 Freeboard:

Freeboard shall not be required above the design headwater pool elevation at culvert entrance.

5607.4 Channel Linings:

A. Minimum lining height shall be the selected design storm water profile plus at least a 0.5-foot freeboard.

B. All channel linings, except turf, shall contain provision for relieving back pressures and water entrapment at regular intervals.

C. Lining height on the outside bend of curves shall be increased by:

\[ y = \frac{D}{4} \]

where:
\[ y = \text{Increased vertical height of lining in feet} \]
\[ D = \text{Depth of design flow in feet} \]

Increased lining height shall be transitioned from \( y \) to zero feet over a minimum of:

1. \( 30(y) \) feet downstream from the point of tangency (P.T.).
2. \( 10(y) \) feet upstream from the point of curvature (P.C.).

5607.5 Lining Material:

The types of lining material listed in Tables 5605-1 and 5606-1 shall be used to control damage and erosion. All riprap, grouted riprap, and gabion linings shall be designed with a filter fabric in conformance with Section 2605.2.C.2.

Other types of lining materials not specifically listed in Tables 5605-1 and 5606-1 may be used when approved by the City/County Engineer.

Concrete lined open channel bottoms are prohibited, unless a waiver to this criteria is granted by the City/County Engineer.

5607.6 Side Slopes:

A. Side slopes shall not be steeper than:

1. 3 horizontal to 1 vertical for turf lining.
2. 2.5 horizontal to 1 vertical for all other lining materials, unless a geotechnical analysis indicates a steeper slope can be used.
3. Flatter if necessary to stabilize slopes.

5607.7 Alignment Changes:

Alignment changes shall be achieved by curves having a minimum radius of:

\[ R = \frac{V^2 \cdot W}{8D} \]

where:

\[ R = \text{Minimum radius on centerline in feet} \]
\[ V = \text{Design velocity of flow in feet per second} \]
\[ W = \text{Width of channel at water surface in feet} \]
\[ D = \text{Depth of flow in feet} \]
5607.8 Vertical Wall Channels:

A. Vertical walls may be used for structural lining of improved channels when site conditions warrant; subject to the following special requirements:

1. Walls shall be designed and constructed to act as retaining walls.

2. Adequate provisions shall be made for pedestrian entry/exit from the channel.

5607.9 Energy Management:

Use of grade control structures can be used to manage boundary shear.
SECTION 5608 STORMWATER DETENTION AND RETENTION

5608.1 Scope:

This section governs the requirements and design of stormwater detention and retention facilities.

5608.2 Easements:

Easements shall be dedicated to the City/County to provide adequate access for inspection, construction, and maintenance of all public detention facility components. The owner shall dedicate the detention facility and easements upon completion of construction and approval by the City/County Engineer. This shall be land occupied by the facility, plus a 20-foot wide strip around the perimeter of the highest elevation attained by the design storage volume, plus an access easement 20 feet in width between the facility and a public street. Easements are not required for private detention facilities, as described in Section 5601.2, and other special cases determined by the City/County Engineer.

5608.3 Maintenance and Continued Performance:

A. Maintenance of private detention facilities shall be the responsibility of the property owner and shall include:

1. Maintenance of structural facilities, including outlet works, not located in a public drainage easement.

2. Annual or more frequent inspections to assure that the detention basin has full storage capacity, all inlet and outlet structures are fully functional, and the facility meets requirements of local, state, and federal regulations.

5608.4 Performance Criteria:

A. General Provisions:

1. Detention/retention facilities shall have 1,000 acres or less area tributary to the facility.

2. Dams which are greater than 10 feet in height but do not fall into State or Federal requirement categories shall be designed in accordance with latest edition of SCS Technical Release No. 60, “Earth Dams and Reservoirs”, as Class “C” structures.

3. All lake and pond development must conform to local, state, and federal regulations. Legal definitions and regulations for dams and reservoirs can be
found in the Missouri Code of State Regulations, Division 22, and the State of Kansas Rules and Regulations, KSA 82a-301 through 305a.

B. Computational Methods:

1. Time of Concentration and Travel Time: Refer to Section 5602 for acceptable hydrology methods.

2. Temporary Storage Volume: A preliminary value of the storage requirement may be obtained through methods outlined in (SCS, 1986, Chapter 6) or other acceptable methods. The storage shall be checked during routing of design hydrographs through the basin and adjusted appropriately.

3. Hydrograph Routing: The storage indication method (Modified Puls) of routing a hydrograph through a detention basin may be utilized. Reference: (Chow, 1964).

C. Release Rate: The maximum release rate from any development for the 1%, 10% and 50% storms shall be limited according to Section 5601.5-A-3 and the decision flowchart in Figure 5601-1, “Guide to Stormwater Management for Site Development”.

D. Detention Basin Size: Owners/engineers may utilize methodology outlined in (SCS, 1986). A Type II rainfall distribution shall be the required storm hyetograph. Hydrologic simulation models shall be based on not less than Antecedent Moisture Condition II (see Section 5602.2). Maximum detention storage shall be based upon the allowable release rate and upon the developed condition for the site. Basin volume shall be sized for the 1%, 24-hour design storm. Cities and Counties may establish additional standards for other storm sizes in order to provide stream channel and water quality protection.

E. Primary Outlet Works: The primary outlet shall be designed to meet the following requirements:

1. The outlet shall be designed to function without requiring attendance or operation of any kind or requiring use of equipment or tools, or any mechanical devices.

2. All discharge from the detention facility when inflow is equal to or less than the 1% inflow shall be via the Primary outlet.

3. The design discharge rate via the outlet shall continuously increase with increasing head and shall have hydraulic characteristics similar to weirs, orifices or pipes.

4. For dry detention basins, the design shall allow for discharge of at least 80 percent of the detention storage volume within 24 hours after the peak or center of mass of the inflow has entered the detention basin.
5. Ponds shall be designed with a non-clogging outlet such as a reverse-slope pipe, or a weir outlet with a trash rack. A reverse-slope pipe draws from below the permanent pool extending in a reverse angle up to the riser and establishes the water elevation of the permanent pool. Because these outlets draw water from below the level of the permanent pool, they are less likely to be clogged by floating debris.

6. All openings shall be protected by trash racks, grates, stone filters, or other approved devices to insure that the outlet works will remain functional. No orifice shall be less than 3 inches in diameter. (Smaller orifices are more susceptible to clogging).

F. Emergency Spillways: The emergency spillway may either be combined with the outlet works or be a separate structure or channel. Emergency spillways shall be designed so that their crest elevation is 0.5-feet or more above the maximum water surface elevation in the detention facility attained by the 1% storm. In cases where the impoundment/emergency spillway are not regulated by either State of Federal agencies, the emergency spillway should be designed to pass the 1% storm with 1-ft of freeboard assuming that the initial water level is at the invert of the detention/retention emergency spillway when the storm commences.

G. Draw Down Provision: Drain works consisting of valves, gates, pipes, and other devices as necessary to completely drain the facility in 72 hours or less when required for maintenance or inspection shall be provided.

H. Erosion Control: Primary outlet works, emergency spillways, and drain works, as well as conveyance system entrances to detention basins, shall be equipped with energy dissipating devices as necessary to limit shear stresses on receiving channels. See Tables 5605-1 and 5606-2 for shear stress criteria.

5608.5 Detention Methods:

In addition to the foregoing criteria, the following shall be applicable, depending on the detention alternative(s) selected:

A. Wet Bottom Basins/Retention Facility: For basins designed with permanent pools:


2. Minimum Depth: The minimum normal depth of water before the introduction of excess stormwater shall be four feet plus a sedimentation allowance of not less than 5 years accumulation. Sedimentation shall be determined in accordance with the procedures shown in Figure 5608-1
3. **Depth for Fish**: If the pond is to contain fish, at least one-quarter of the area of the permanent pool must have a minimum depth of 10 feet plus sedimentation allowance.

4. **Side Slopes**: The side slopes shall conform as closely as possible to regraded or natural land contours, and should not exceed three horizontal to one vertical. Slopes exceeding this limit shall require erosion control and safety measures and a geotechnical analysis.

**B. Dry Bottom Basins/Detention Facility**: For basins designed to be normally dry:

1. **Interior Drainage**: Provisions must be incorporated to facilitate interior drainage to outlet structures. Grades for drainage facilities shall not be less than two percent on turf. Concrete swales, with a minimum gradient of 1.0 percent, may be used as needed to conduct stormwater from turfed bottom areas to the outlet structure if the shear stress ratio is greater than 1.

2. **Earth Bottoms**: Earth bottoms shall be seeded or sodded.

3. **Side Slopes**: The side slopes of dry ponds should be relatively flat to reduce safety risks and help to lengthen the effective flow path. Slopes shall not be steeper than three horizontal to one vertical.

4. **Multipurpose Feature**: These shall be designed to serve secondary purposes for recreation, open space, or other types of use which will not be adversely affected by occasional or intermittent flooding, if possible.

**C. Rooftop Storage**: Detention storage may be met in total or in part by detention on roofs. Details of such designs shall include the depth and volume of storage, details of outlet devices and downdrains, elevations and details of overflow scuppers, and emergency overflow provisions. Connections of roof drains to sanitary sewers are prohibited. Design loadings and special building and structural details shall be subject to approval by the City/County Engineer.

**D. Parking Lot Storage**: Paved parking lots may be designed to provide temporary detention storage of stormwater on a portion of their surfaces. Generally, such detention areas shall be in the more remote portions of such parking lots. Depths of storage shall be limited to a maximum depth of seven inches, and such areas shall be located so that access to and from parking areas is not impaired.

**E. Other Storage**: All or a portion of the detention storage may also be provided in underground or surface detention areas, including, but not limited to, oversized storm sewers, vaults, tanks, swales, etc.

**5608.6 Required Submittals:**
A. The Owner shall submit the following information and data to the City/County Engineer.

1. Elevation-area-volume curves for the storage facility including notation of the storage volumes allocated to runoff, sediment, and permanent residual water storage for other uses (wet basins only).

2. Inflow hydrographs for all (100, 50, 20, 10, 4, 2, and 1-percent) design storms.

3. Stage-discharge rating curves for each spillway and for combined spillway discharges.

4. Routing curves for all (100, 50, 20, 10, 4, 2, and 1-percent) design storms with time plotted as the abscissa and the following plotted as ordinates:
   a. Cumulative inflow volume.
   b. Cumulative discharge.
   c. Stage elevation.
   d. Cumulative storage.

5608.7 Additional Requirements:

A. **Access:** Provisions shall be made to permit access and use of auxiliary equipment to facilitate emptying, cleaning, maintenance, or for emergency purposes.

B. **Underground Storage:** Underground detention facilities shall be designed with adequate access for maintenance (cleaning and sediment removal). Such facilities shall be provided with positive gravity outlets. Venting shall be sufficient to prevent accumulation of toxic or explosive gases.
SECTION 5609 PLAN REQUIREMENTS

5609.1 Scope:

This section governs the preparation of plans for stormwater system projects.

5609.2 General:

The plans shall include all information necessary to build and check the design of storm drainage systems. The plans shall be arranged as required by the City/County Engineer. Standard drawings of the City/County shall be included by reference only. Plans shall be sealed by a Registered Professional Engineer and shall be submitted to the City/County Engineer for review and approval.

5609.3 Scale:

Plans shall be drawn at the following minimum scales. Larger scales may be needed to clearly present the design. Bar scales shall be shown on each sheet for each scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Plan:</th>
<th>Vertical</th>
<th>Horizontal</th>
<th>Profile:</th>
<th>Vertical</th>
<th>Horizontal</th>
<th>Cross Sections:</th>
<th>Vertical</th>
<th>Horizontal</th>
<th>Drainage Area Map:</th>
<th>Off-site</th>
<th>Structural Plans:</th>
<th>Graphic Drawings:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 inch = 50 feet</td>
<td>1 inch = 10 feet</td>
<td>1 inch = 50 feet</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 inch = 5 feet</td>
<td>1 inch = 5 feet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 inch = 200 feet</td>
<td>1 inch = 1,000 feet</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 inch = 1 foot</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5609.4 Required Information:

A. General Project Site Plan Sheet: The entire storm water management layout shall be on one sheet, with breakout sheets for enlarged views where required for legibility.

B. Drainage Area Map: A drainage map shall be included and shall contain the following:
1. Ridgeline of the area tributary to each principal element of the system.

2. Note the area in acres.

3. Note the runoff coefficient C for each area or Curve Number if TR-55 methods are being used.

C. **Plan View:** All designed storm drainage systems shall be drawn in plan view and shall contain the following:

1. North arrow and bar scale.

2. Ties to permanent reference points for each system located outside of the street right-of-way.

3. Identification and location of each pipe, culvert, inlet, structure, and existing utility affecting construction.

4. Right-of-way, property, and easement lines. The 1% floodplain and setback from the top of bank of an open channel to any building.

5. Existing man-made and natural topographic features, such as buildings, fences, trees, channels, ponds, streams, etc., and all existing and proposed utilities.

6. Location of test borings and reference to boring log.

7. Existing and finish grade contours at intervals of 2.0 feet or less in elevation, or equivalent detail indicating existing and finish grades and slopes.

8. A uniform set of symbols subject to approval by the City/County Engineer.

9. The centerline of open channels within 50 feet of an enclosed drainage system and showing the direction of flow.

10. The existing and proposed drainage systems 100 feet upstream and 100 feet downstream from the development.

D. **Profile View:** All designed storm drainage systems shall be drawn in profile view and shall contain the following:

1. Existing and finish surface grade along the centerline of pipe (except street centerline may be used when construction includes street construction).

2. Length, size and slope of each line or channel segment. Slope shall be expressed in percent.

3. Headwater elevation at the inlet end of each culvert.
4. Flow line (invert elevation in and out at each structure) and EGL.

5. Each existing utility line crossing the alignment shall be properly located and identified as to type, size and material.

6. Test borings.

7. All station and invert elevations of manholes, junction boxes, inlets or other structures.

8. The profile shall show existing grade above the centerline as a dashed line, proposed finish grades or established street grades by solid lines; and shall show the flow line of any drainage channel, either improved and unimproved, within 50 feet of either side of the centerline. Each line shall be properly identified. The proposed sewer shall be shown as double solid lines properly showing the top of the pipe.

9. All manholes, inlets or other structures shall be shown and labeled with appropriate "Standard Drawing" designation.

E. Cross Sections: Cross sections shall be drawn for all open channels. Sections shall be at appropriate intervals not greater than 50 feet. Additional sections shall be drawn at all structures and intersecting drainage systems. The following shall be indicated on each section:

1. Ties from centerline to baseline.

2. Existing and proposed grade line.

3. Elevation of the proposed flow line.

4. Cut and fill end areas if required for bid quantities.

F. Design Information for Each Part of the System: The plans shall present design information for each culvert, structure, facility, pipe and channel segment and shall contain the following:

1. Tributary area in acres.

2. Design discharge and capacity in cubic feet per second.

3. Runoff coefficient C, design storm return frequency rainfall intensity and Manning's "n".

4. Discharge velocity at design flow.
5. Hydraulic grade line for the appropriate system design storm and 1% design storm.

6. Type and grade of material (gage, class, etc.).

Schedules which indicate all variable dimensions and elevations covered by standards or "typical" drawings shall be shown on the plans. All design details for nonstandard structures shall be indicated on the plans. A minimum of one plan view and one sectional view shall be shown on the plans for each structure. Additional views may be required if necessary to clearly define the design. A reinforcing bar list is not required. However, the grade, type, size and location of the bars shall be clearly indicated on the plans.
TABLES
### Documented Extreme Stream Flows

In the Kansas City Area and Surrounding Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USGS tributing</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Discharge</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Record</th>
<th>Area per Basin</th>
<th>Discharge</th>
<th>Area per Basin</th>
<th>Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Con.</td>
<td>Station</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Station</td>
<td>Drainage</td>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>of Max</td>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>100-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>I.D.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(sq. miles)</td>
<td>(cfs)</td>
<td>(cfs/acre)</td>
<td>(cfs)</td>
<td>(cfs/acre)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Kansas City Metropolitan Area, Missouri and Kansas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I.D.</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Discharge</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>06821130</td>
<td>First Creek near Nashua</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>18-May-74</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Turkey Creek trib. at Carter St. at Merriam, Ks.</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>12-Sep-77</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Little Blue River trib. at Noland Road at Independence, Mo.</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>12-Sep-77</td>
<td>2,330</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>-- Rock Creek at Woodson and Martway St. at Mission, Ks.</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>12-Sep-77</td>
<td>1,980</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>-- Tucker Creek at Highway FF near Grain Valley, Mo.</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>12-Sep-77</td>
<td>1,890</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>-- Brush Creek at 75th and Nall at Prairie Village, Ks.</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>12-Sep-77</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>-- White Oak Creek at Raytown, Mo. (Raytown Road)</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>12-Sep-77</td>
<td>2,290</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>-- Cedar Creek at Lee's Summit, Mo. (Chicago-Rock Island and Pacific RR)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>12-Sep-77</td>
<td>2,410</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### References Cited:

Table 5602-2
Design Aide for Calculating Rainfall Intensity Kansas City Metropolitan Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Return Period</th>
<th>Equation 1</th>
<th>Equation 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ( \leq T_c \leq 15 )</td>
<td>15 ( &lt; T_c \leq 60 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 yr.</td>
<td>( i = \frac{119}{T_c + 17} )</td>
<td>( i = \frac{134}{T_c + 21.4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 yr.</td>
<td>( i = \frac{154}{T_c + 18.8} )</td>
<td>( i = \frac{182}{T_c + 25} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>( i = \frac{175}{T_c + 18.8} )</td>
<td>( i = \frac{214}{T_c + 26.7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 yr.</td>
<td>( i = \frac{203}{T_c + 18.8} )</td>
<td>( i = \frac{262}{T_c + 28.8} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 yr.</td>
<td>( i = \frac{233}{T_c + 19.8} )</td>
<td>( i = \frac{296}{T_c + 29.6} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 yr.</td>
<td>( i = \frac{256}{T_c + 19.8} )</td>
<td>( i = \frac{331}{T_c + 30} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( i = \) Rainfall intensity in inches per hour.

\( T_c = \) Time of concentration in minutes.

Note: Table 5602-2 is a design aide for use with computers to calculate rainfall intensity in the Kansas City Metropolitan Area using the Steel Formula.
### Table 5603-1

MANNING’S ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Channel</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closed Conduits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCPs)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforced Concrete Elliptical Pipe</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrugated Metal Pipe (CMPs) (See Table 5603-1A on page 56-53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitrified Clay Pipe</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos Cement Pipe</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open Channels (Lined)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabions</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concrete</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trowel Finish</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Float Finish</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfinished</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete, bottom float finished, with sides of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dressed Stone</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Stone</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement Rubble masonry</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Rubble or Riprap</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel bottom, side of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Stone</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riprap</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass (Sod)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riprap</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grouted Riprap</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open Channels (Unlined) Excavated or Dredged</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth, straight and uniform</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth, winding and sluggish</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channels, not maintained, weeds &amp; brush uncut</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Natural Stream</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean stream, straight</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream with pools, sluggish reaches, heavy underbrush</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flood Plains</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass, no brush</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With some brush</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Curbing</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrugations</td>
<td>Annular 2 2/3 x 1/2 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowing: Full-Unpaved</td>
<td>8 in. 10 in. 12 in. 15 in. 18 in. 24 in. 30 in. 36 in. 42 in. 48 in. 54 in. and larger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part Full-Unpaved</td>
<td>0.024 0.021 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular 3 x 1 in.</td>
<td>17 x 21 x 28 x 35 x 42 x 49 x 57 x 64 x 43 and larger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part Full</td>
<td>0.026 0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowing: Full Unpaved 25% Paved</td>
<td>Helical 5 x 1 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular 5 x 1 in.</td>
<td>48 in. 54 in. 60 in. 66 in. 72 in. 78 in. and larger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowing: Full Unpaved 25% Paved</td>
<td>36 in. 42 in. 48 in. 54 in. 60 in. 66 in. 72 in. 78 in. and larger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular</td>
<td>0.027 0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All pipe with smooth interior</td>
<td>All Diameters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5603-2
HEAD LOSS COEFFICIENT k

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manhole, junction boxes and inlets with shaped inverts:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thru flow</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junction</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraction transition</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion transition</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 degree bend</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 degree and less bends</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Culvert inlets: | |
| Pipe, Concrete | |
| Projecting from fill, socket end (groove end) | 0.2 |
| Projecting from fill, sq. cut end | 0.5 |
| Headwall or headwall and wingwalls | |
| Socket end of pipe (groove end) | 0.2 |
| Square edge | 0.5 |
| Round (radius=1/12D) | 0.2 |
| Mitered to conform to fill slope | 0.7 |
| Standard end section | 0.5 |
| Beveled edges, 33.7° or 45° bevels | 0.2 |
| Side or slope-tapered inlet | 0.2 |

| Pipe, or Pipe-Arch, Corrugated Metal | |
| Projecting from fill (no headwall) | 0.9 |
| Headwall or headwall and wingwalls square edge | 0.5 |
| Mitered to conform to fill slope, paved or unpaved slope | 0.7 |
| Standard end section | 0.5 |
| Beveled edges, 33.7° or 45° bevels | 0.2 |
| Side or slope-tapered inlet | 0.2 |

| Box, Reinforced Concrete | |
| Headwall parallel to embankment (no wingwalls) | |
| Square edged on 3 edges | 0.5 |
| Rounded on 3 edges to radius of 1/12 barrel dim. or beveled edges on 3 sides | 0.2 |
| Wingwalls at 30° to 75° to barrel | |
| Square edged at crown | 0.4 |
| Crown edge rounded to radius of 1/12 barrel dimension or beveled top edge | 0.2 |
| Wingwalls at 10° to 25° to barrel - square edged at crown | 0.5 |
| Wingwalls parallel (extension of sides) - square edged at crown | 0.7 |
| Side or slope-tapered inlet | 0.2 |

Note: When 50 percent or more of the discharge enters the structure from the surface, “k” shall be 1.0.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Granular Material</th>
<th>psf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulders (100 cm)</td>
<td>20.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulders (75 cm)</td>
<td>15.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulders (50 cm)</td>
<td>10.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulders (25.6 cm)</td>
<td>5.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rip Rap</td>
<td>3.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobbles (6.4 cm)</td>
<td>1.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobbles and shingles</td>
<td>1.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobbles and shingles, clear water</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sand (1mm)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sand (1mm)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse gravel, noncolloidal (GW), clear water</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse gravel, noncolloidal, (GW)</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel (2cm)</td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine gravel</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine gravel, clear water</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sand (0.125 mm)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sand (0.125 mm) (SP)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sand (SW), (SP), colloidal</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sand, colloidal, (SW), (SP), clear water</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graded loam to cobbles, noncolloidal (GM)</td>
<td>0.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graded loam to cobbles, noncolloidal,(GM), clear water</td>
<td>0.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graded silts to cobbles, colloidal (GC)</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graded silts to cobbles, colloidal, (GC), clear water</td>
<td>0.430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fine Grained</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resistant cohesive (CL), (CH)</td>
<td>1.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiff clay, very colloidal, (CL)</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiff clay, very colloidal, (CL), clear water</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate cohesive (ML-CL)</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary firm loam (CL-ML)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary firm loam, (CL-ML), clear water</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial silts, colloidal (CL-ML)</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial silts, colloidal,(CL-ML), clear water</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial silts, noncolloidal (ML)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial silts, noncolloidal, (ML), clear water</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy loam, noncolloidal (ML)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy loam, noncolloidal, (ML), clear water</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt loam, noncolloidal (ML)</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt loam, noncolloidal, (ML), clear water</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Shales and hardpans                    | 0.67  |
### Table 5605-1

**CRITICAL SHEAR STRESSES FOR CHANNEL MATERIALS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Others</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jute net</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant cuttings</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well established dense vegetation to the normal low water</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geotextile (synthetic)</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Woody Debris</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: For non-cohesive soils, the table values are based on spherical particles and Shield equation, as follows: $\tau_c = \Theta(\gamma_s - \gamma) D$ where $\gamma_s$ is the specific weight of sediment (165 pcf), $\gamma$ is specific weight of water, $D$ is the reference particle size, and $\Theta$ is the Shield’s parameter (0.06 for gravel to cobble, 0.044 for sand). For cohesive soils the values are based on limited testing as reported in Chow (1988) and USDA (2001).
Table 5605-2
CHANNEL CONDITION SCORING MATRIX
(adapted from Johnson, et al 1999)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stability Indicator</th>
<th>Good (1)</th>
<th>Fair (2)</th>
<th>Poor (3)</th>
<th>Score (S)</th>
<th>Weight (W)</th>
<th>Rating S*W= (R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bank soil texture and coherence</td>
<td>cohesive materials, clay (CL), silty clay (CL-ML), massive limestone,</td>
<td>sandy clay (SC), sandy loam (SM), fractured thinly bedded limestone</td>
<td>non-cohesive materials, shale in bank, (SM), (SP), (SW), (GC), (GM), (GP), (GW)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average bank slope angle</td>
<td>slopes ≤ 2:1 on one or occasionally both banks</td>
<td>slopes up to 1.7:1 (60°) common on one or both banks</td>
<td>bank slopes over 60° on one or both banks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average bank height</td>
<td>less than 6 feet</td>
<td>greater than 6 and less than 15 feet</td>
<td>greater than 15 feet</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative bank protection</td>
<td>wide to medium band of woody vegetation with 70-90% plant density and</td>
<td>narrow bank of woody vegetation, poor species diversity, 50-70%</td>
<td>thin or no band of woody vegetation, poor health, monoculture, many</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and cover. Majority are hardwood, deciduous trees with well-developed</td>
<td>plant density, most vegetation on top of bank and not extending</td>
<td>trees leaning over bank, extensive root exposure, turf grass to edge of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>understory layer, minimal root exposure</td>
<td>onto bank slope, some trees leaning over bank, root exposure</td>
<td>bank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank cutting</td>
<td>little to some evident along channel bends and at prominent constrictions,</td>
<td>Significant and frequent. Cut banks 4 feet high. Root mat</td>
<td>Almost continuous cut banks, some over 4 feet high. Undercut trees</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>some raw banks up to 4 foot</td>
<td>overhangs common.</td>
<td>with sod-rootmat overhangs common. Bank failures frequent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability Indicator</td>
<td>Good (1)</td>
<td>Fair (2)</td>
<td>Poor (3)</td>
<td>Score (S)</td>
<td>Weight (W)</td>
<td>Rating S*W= (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass wasting</td>
<td>little to some evidence of slight or infrequent mass wasting, past events healed over with vegetation. Channel width relatively uniform with only slight scalloping</td>
<td>Evidence of frequent and significant mass wasting events. Indications that higher flows aggravated undercutting and bank wasting. Channel width irregular with bank scalloping evident</td>
<td>Frequent and extensive mass wasting evident. Tension cracks, massive undercutting and bank slumping are considerable. Highly irregular channel width.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar development</td>
<td>narrow relative to stream width at low flow, well-consolidated, vegetated and composed of coarse bed material to slight recent growth of bar as indicated by absence of vegetation on part of bar</td>
<td>Bar widths wide relative to stream width with freshly deposited sand to small cobbles with sparse vegetation</td>
<td>Bar widths greater than ( \frac{1}{2} ) the stream width at low flow. Bars are composed of extensive deposits of finer bed material with little vegetation</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris jam potential</td>
<td>slight – small amounts of debris in channel. Small jams could form</td>
<td>moderate – noticeable debris of all sizes present</td>
<td>significant – moderate to heavy accumulations of debris apparent</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructions, flow deflectors (walls, bluffs) and sediment traps</td>
<td>negligible to few or small obstructions present causing secondary currents and minor bank and bottom erosion but no major influence on meander bend</td>
<td>moderately frequent and occasionally unstable obstructions, noticeable erosion of channel. Considerable sediment accumulation behind obstructions</td>
<td>frequent and unstable causing continual shift of sediment and flow</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel bed material consolidation and armoring</td>
<td>massive competent to thinly bed limestone, continuous concrete, hard clay, moderately</td>
<td>shale in bed, soft silty clay, little consolidation of particles, no apparent overlap, moderate % of particles &lt; 4mm</td>
<td>silt, weathered, thinly bedded, fractured shale, high slaking potential, very poorly consolidated, high</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability Indicator</td>
<td>Good (1)</td>
<td>Fair (2)</td>
<td>Poor (3)</td>
<td>Score (S)</td>
<td>Weight (W)</td>
<td>Rating S*W= (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability</td>
<td>consolidated with some overlapping.</td>
<td></td>
<td>% of material &lt; 4mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Assorted sizes of particles, tightly packed and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>overlapped, possibly imbricated. Small % of particles &lt; 4mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinuosity</td>
<td>1.2 ≤ Sinuosity ≤ 1.4</td>
<td>1.1≤Sinuosity&lt;1.2</td>
<td>Sinuosity&lt;1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of radius of</td>
<td>3 ≤ $R_c/W_b$ ≤ 5</td>
<td>2 &lt; $R_c/W_b$ &lt; 3,</td>
<td>2 &lt; $R_c/W_b$, $R_c/W_b$ &gt; 7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>curvature to channel</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 &lt; $R_c/W_b$ ≤ 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>width</td>
<td>Ratio of pool-riffle spacing to channel width at</td>
<td>4 ≤ $Length/W_b$ &lt; 8</td>
<td>3 &lt; $Length/W_b$, $Length/W_b$ &gt; 9,</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>elevation of 2-year flow</td>
<td></td>
<td>unless long pool or run because of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of</td>
<td>&lt; 25%</td>
<td>26-50%</td>
<td>&gt; 50%</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>channel constriction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment movement</td>
<td>little to no loose sediment</td>
<td>scour and/or deposition,</td>
<td>near continuous scour and/or</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>some loose sediment</td>
<td>loose sediment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL ____
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Forms Available</th>
<th>Comments* (see notes below)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandbar willow</td>
<td>Salix exigua</td>
<td>Live stake, whip, bare root</td>
<td>Shrub willow, stoloniferous, favors granular soils, inundation and scour tolerant, requires full sun, extensive fibrous roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peachleaf willow</td>
<td>Salix amygdaloides</td>
<td>Live stake, whip, bare root</td>
<td>Shrub willow, stoloniferous, favors granular soils, inundation and scour tolerant, requires full sun, extensive fibrous roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttonbush</td>
<td>Cephalanthus occidentalis</td>
<td>Live stake, whip, bare root, container</td>
<td>Shrub, sun or shade, stoloniferous, tolerates extended inundation, high aesthetic value, nectar source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silky dogwood</td>
<td>Cornus ammomum</td>
<td>Live stake, bare root</td>
<td>Roots from cutting with root hormone, shade tolerant, stoloniferous, shallow, fibrous roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roughleaf dogwood</td>
<td>Cornus drummondii</td>
<td>Bare root, container</td>
<td>Most sun and drought tolerant dogwood, extensive fibrous roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River birch</td>
<td>Betula nigra</td>
<td>Bare root, B&amp;B</td>
<td>High root tensile strength, rapid establishment, high aesthetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black walnut</td>
<td>Juglans nigra</td>
<td>Bare root, B&amp;B</td>
<td>Check for juglome toxicity in rest of palette, deep arching roots, buttressing effect in rock soils, canopy species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch grass</td>
<td>Panicum virgatum</td>
<td>Seed, plant plug</td>
<td>Deep, high tensile strength roots, aggressive, may out compete other warm season grasses, good for mesic to dry sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrowwood viburnum</td>
<td>Viburnum dentatum</td>
<td>Bare root, container</td>
<td>Highly adaptable to range of soil, moisture and sun conditions, understory shrub, high aesthetic value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little blue stem</td>
<td>Schizachyrium scoparium</td>
<td>Seed, plant plug, container</td>
<td>Deep, high tensile strength roots, adaptable to dry sites, full sun to light shade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Stoloniferous species, those with the ability to sprout from a network of near-surface stems, are used in high stress applications to protect against toe scour. The stoloniferous species form dense colonies and quickly regenerate when damaged.

Common riparian species such as black willow, box elder, and most poplar species should not be used in soil bioengineering applications in urban areas. *Populus deltoides* (eastern cottonwood) should be used only sparingly and where deep, loam soil is present. If the site is infested with *Phragmites* spp (common reed), bamboo, *Phalaris arundinacea* (reed canary grass), and *polygonum* spp (knotweed), the design must include a plan to positively eliminate the weedy species. While plant selection is site-specific the following species have broad applicability in urban streams.
### Table 5606-1
Permissible Shear Stresses for Lining Material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lining Category</th>
<th>Lining Type</th>
<th>lb/ft²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Erosion Control Blankets</td>
<td>1.55-2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turf-Reinforced Matrix (TRMs):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unvegetated:</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetated:</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geosynthetic Materials</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cellular Containment</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Woven Paper Net</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jut Net</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fiberglass Roving:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Straw With Net</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Curled Wood Mat</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Synthetic Mat</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative</td>
<td>Class A (see Table 5606-2)</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class B (see Table 5606-2)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class C (see Table 5606-2)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class D (see Table 5606-2)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class E (see Table 5606-2)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel Riprap</td>
<td>25 mm</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Riprap</td>
<td>150 mm</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300 mm</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare Soil</td>
<td>Non-Cohesive</td>
<td>See Figure 5606-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cohesive</td>
<td>See Figure 5606-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retardance Class</td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td><strong>Weeping Love Grass</strong></td>
<td>Excellent stand, tall (average 760 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Yellow Bluestem Ischaemum</strong></td>
<td>Excellent stand, tall (average 910 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td><strong>Kudzu</strong></td>
<td>Very dense growth, uncut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Bermuda Grass</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, tall (average 300 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Native Grass Mixture</strong> (little bluestem, bluestem, blue gamma, and other long and short Midwest grasses)</td>
<td>(Good stand, unmowed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Weeping lovegrass</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, tall (average 610 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lespedeza sericea</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, not woody, tall (average 480 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Alfalfa</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, uncut (average 280 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Weeping lovegrass</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, unmowed (average 330 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Kudzu</strong></td>
<td>Dense growth, uncut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Blue Gamma</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, uncut (average 280 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><strong>Crabgrass</strong></td>
<td>Fair stand, uncut 250 to 1200 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Bermuda grass</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, mowed (average 150 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Common Lespedeza</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, uncut (average 280 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Grass-Legume mixture</strong> – summer (orchard grass, redtop, Italian ryegrass, and common lespedeza)</td>
<td>(Good stand, uncut (150 to 200 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Centipedegrass</strong></td>
<td>Very dense cover (average 150 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Kentucky Bluegrass</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, headed (150 to 300 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td><strong>Bermuda grass</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, cut to 60-mm height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Common Lespedeza</strong></td>
<td>Excellent stand, uncut (average 110 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Buffalo grass</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, uncut (80 to 150 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Grass-legume mixture</strong> – fall, spring (orchard grass, redtop, Italian ryegrass, and common lespedeza)</td>
<td>(Good stand, uncut (100 to 130 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lespedeza sericea</strong></td>
<td>After cutting to 50-mm height. Very good stand before cutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td><strong>Bermuda grass</strong></td>
<td>Good stand, cut to height 40-mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Bermuda grass</strong></td>
<td>Burned stubble</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Covers classified have been tested in experimental channels. Covers were green and generally uniform.
FIGURES
Guide to Stormwater Management for Site Development
Fig. 5601-1
100-year Discharges, per Kansas Rural Regression Formula (2000) and Gage Estimates

Figure 5602-I
100-year Discharges, per Missouri Rural Regression Formula and Gage Estimates
Figure 5602-2

* USGS rural regression estimates for Missouri using Alexander and Wilson (1995), evaluated for Missouri's region I, which includes the Kansas City area. Average slopes for Region I were used, given as S = 52.77 * CDA^-0.4067

** Missouri urban regression formula as given by Becker (1986) for various values of BDF. There is no connection between the Missouri rural and urban regression formulas.
Documented discharge estimate, per unit drainage area, in cfs/acre

Contributing drainage area, in square miles (640 acres = 1 square mile)

Documented Extreme Streamflows (no return period estimated)
in Kansas City and the Region, as discharges per unit drainage area

Figure 5602-3
Figure 5602-4

Documented Extreme Streamflows (no return period estimated) in Kansas City and the Region, as Absolute Discharges

* See Table 5602-1 for information on documented extremes. Selected gages are identified with reference number
** See Fig. 5602-1 for information on Kansas rural regression estimate
*** See Fig. 5602-2 for information on Missouri rural regression estimate
# Crippen and Bue, 1977 Maximum Floodflows in the Conterminous United States, USGS WSP 1887
Figure 5602-5

INTENSITY-DURATION-FREQUENCY
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1896 - 1972
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\[ T_i = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)D^{1/2}}{s^{1/3}} \]

**Example:**
- \( C = 0.6 \)
- \( L = 200' \)
- \( S = 4.0 \% \)

**Figure 5602-6**

- **Runoff Coefficient "C"**
- **Time Nomogram**
- **Length of Flow "D" (Feet)**
- **Slope "S" (%)**

**Overland Flow (Inlet)**
Figure 5602-7

CHANNEL FLOW TIME NOMOGRAM

Figure 3 developed by modeling flow in typical representative hydraulic sections using Manning's Equation.

Example:
300' Grass Channel
1% Slope
$T_T = 1.85$ min.

1. Connect Slope & Channel Condition to locate point on Turning Line
2. Extend line from Turning Line through Channel Length, Read $T_T$
FIGURE 5603-1

**EXAMPLE**

5 x 2 Box
Q = 75 cfs
Q / B = 15 cfs / ft.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inlet</th>
<th>HW D</th>
<th>HW (feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HEIGHT OF BOX (D) IN FEET**

**RATIO OF DISCHARGE TO WIDTH (Q/B) IN CF'S PER FOOT**

**EXAMPLE**

Angle of Wingwall Flare

**HW D SCALE**

| (1) | 30° to 75° |
| (2) | 90° and 15° |
| (3) | 0° (extensions of sides) |

To use scale (2) or (3) project horizontally to scale (1), then use straight inclined line through D and Q scales, or reverse as illustrated.

**HEADWATER DEPTH IN TERMS OF HEIGHT (H/WD)**

| .9  | 1.0  | 1.0  |
| .8  | .9   | .9   |
| .7  | .8   | .8   |
| .6  | .7   | .7   |
| .5  | .6   | .6   |
| .4  | .5   | .5   |
| .3  | .4   | .4   |
| .30 | .35  | .35  |

**HEADWATER DEPTH FOR BOX CULVERTS WITH INLET CONTROL**
FIGURE 5603-2

EXAMPLE

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
D=42\text{ inches} & Q=120\text{ cfs} \\
\text{HW}^* & \text{HW} \\
\text{D} (\text{feet}) & (\text{feet}) \\
(1) & 2.5 & 8.8 \\
(2) & 2.1 & 7.4 \\
(3) & 2.2 & 7.7 \\
\end{array}
\]

*D in feet

HEADWATER DEPTH IN DIAMETERS (HW/D)

To use scale (2) or (3) project horizontally to scale (1), then use straight inclined line through D and Q scales, or reverse as illustrated.

HEADWATER DEPTH FOR CONCRETE PIPE CULVERTS WITH INLET CONTROL
**EXAMPLE**

Size: 38" x 60"
Q=200 cfs

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HW} &= \frac{\text{HW}}{\text{D}} \\
(1) &\cdot 2.6 & 13.0 \\
(2) &\cdot 2.0 & 10.0 \\
(3) &\cdot 2.1 & 10.5
\end{align*}
\]

"D" in feet

To use scale (2) or (3) draw a straight line through known values of size and discharge to intersect scale (1). From point on scale (1) project horizontally to solution on either scale (2) or (3).

**HEADWATER DEPTH FOR OVAL CONCRETE PIPE CULVERTS**
**LONG AXIS VERTICAL**
**WITH INLET CONTROL**
FIGURE 5603-5

EXAMPLE

D = 36 inches (3.0 feet)
Q = 66 cfs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HW*</th>
<th>HW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>(feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*D in feet

HEADWATER DEPTH FOR C.M. PIPE CULVERTS WITH INLET CONTROL
FIGURE 5603-6

EXAMPLE
Size: 36" x 22"
Q = 20 cfs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*D in feet

EXAMPLE

HEADWATER DEPTH IN TERMS OF RISE (H/W:D)

HEADWATER DEPTH FOR C. M. PIPE - ARCH CULVERTS
WITH INLET CONTROL

*ADDITIONAL SIZES NOT DIMENSIONED ARE LISTED IN FABRICATOR'S CATALOG
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FIGURE 5603-7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>ENTRANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BEVELED RING
MINIMUM 300°

DIAMETER = D

EXAMPLE

HEADWATER DEPTH FOR CIRCULAR PIPE CULVERTS
WITH BEVELED RING
INLET CONTROL
FIGURE 5603-8

SUBMERGED OUTLET CULVERT FLOWING FULL

$HW = H + h_0 + Lh_0$  

For outlet crown not submerged, compute HW by methods described in the design procedure.

HEAD FOR CONCRETE PIPE CULVERTS FLOWING FULL

$n = 0.012$
SUBMERGED OUTLET CULVERT FLOWING FULL

$HW = H + h_0 - LS_0$

For outlet crown not submerged, compute $HW$ by methods described in the design procedure.
FIGURE 5603-10

Submerged Outlet Culvert Flowing Full

For outlet crown not submerged, compute HW by methods described in the design procedure.

**NOTE:**

Dimensions on size scale are ordered for long axis horizontal installation. They should be reverse for long axis vertical.
FIGURE 5603-11

SUBMERGED OUTLET CULVERT FLOWING FULL

\[ HW = H + h_0 - LS \]

For outlet crown not submerged, compute HW by methods described in the design procedure.

HEAD FOR STANDARD
C. M. PIPE CULVERTS
FLOWING FULL

\[ n=0.024 \]
FIGURE 5603-12

SUBMERGED OUTLET CULVERT FLOWING FULL
HW = H + h0 - LS0

For outlet crown not submerged, compute HW by methods described in the design procedure.

HEAD FOR STANDARD C.M. PIPE-ARCH CULVERTS
FLOWING FULL
n=0.024
FIGURE 5603-13

SUBMERGED OUTLET CULVERT FLOWING FULL

$$HW = H + h_0 - LS_0$$

For outlet crown not submerged, compute HW by methods described in the design procedure.

HEAD FOR STRUCTURAL PLATE CORR. METAL PIPE CULVERTS FLOWING FULL

$$n = 0.0328 \text{ TO } 0.0302$$

Diam.  n
5'  0.0328
7'  0.0320
10'  0.0311
15'  0.0302
FIGURE 5603-14

SUBMERGED OUTLET CULVERT FLOWING FULL

\[ HW = H + h_t \cdot \tan \theta \]

For outlet crown not submerged, compute HW by methods described in the design procedure.

HEAD FOR STRUCTURAL PLATE CORRUGATED METAL PIPE ARCH CULVERTS
18 IN. CORNER RADIUS FLOWING FULL

\[ n = 0.0327 \text{ TO } 0.0306 \]

Size | n
--- | ---
6.1 x 4.6 | 0.0327
8.1 x 5.6 | 0.0321
11.4 x 7.2 | 0.0315
16.6 x 10.1 | 0.0306
Figure 5604-1

PLAN
NO SCALE

SECTION
NO SCALE

CURB INLETS
MINIMUM HYDRAULIC DIMENSIONS
Curb Inlet Intercept Equations:

1. “For any given set of conditions (curb type, inlet length, street grade and cross-slope), the relationship between the captured discharge and the total discharge can be approximated satisfactorily by an equation of the form

\[
Q_c = \begin{cases} 
Q_t & \text{for } Q_t \leq Q_o \\
Q_o + (Q_o - Q_o) \left[1 - \exp \left(\frac{-Q_o}{Q_o - Q_o}\right)\right] & \text{for } Q_t > Q_o
\end{cases}
\]

in which \(Q_o\) and \(Q_a\) are constants. The constant \(Q_o\) represents the largest discharge that is captured completely, and the constant \(Q_a\) represents the upper limit on the captured discharge, which is approached asymptotically with increasing total discharge. For a particular curb type and street cross-slope, \(Q_o\) and \(Q_a\) vary with inlet length \((L_o)\) and street grade \((S_o)\) according to the formulas

\[
Q_o = (a + b \cdot L_o)(S_o)^x
\]

\[
Q_a = (c + d \cdot L_o)(S_o)^x
\]

in which \(a, b, c, d\) and \(x\) are constants. Table 2 shows these constants in U.S. customary units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curb Type</th>
<th>(S_o),%</th>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>(b)</th>
<th>(c)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(x)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG-1 (B)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG-1 (B)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG-2 (A)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG-2 (A)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: These equations were developed from model tests on curb inlets that in general were larger than the minimum dimensions given in Figure 5604-1. The inlet used in the model study had the inlet face setback 18” from the curb line (instead of the minimum 12”), had a 10” throat opening (instead of the minimum 6” opening), and had an upstream transition length of 10’ and a downstream transition of 5’ (instead of the 5’ and 3’ minimum transition lengths, respectively). There are no known model tests available for the minimum inlet given in Figure 5604-1. The Engineer is responsible for determining if proposed inlets will be less efficient than the ones used for the model study, and make appropriate adjustments in calculated capture.

References:

The following is a Microsoft Visual Basic function that can be added to a Microsoft Excel (97 or later) worksheet or template for inlet intercept calculations.

Function InletIntercept(slope, Qt, Optional CrossSlope = 2, Optional Length = 4#, Optional CurbType = "A", Optional Metric = False)

' InletIntercept Macro
' Determine the intercept ratio for setback curb
' inlets given length of opening, q, slope and cross slope
' All inputs in english units.
' Function written 04/19/1999 by Michael S. Ross

Dim a As Double, b As Double, c As Double, d As Double
Dim x As Double, Qo As Double, Qa As Double
Dim strAlert As String

CurbType = UCase(CurbType)
If CurbType <> "A" And CurbType <> "B" Then
    strAlert = "Curb type must be one of 'A' or 'B'. 'A' assumed. "
    CurbType = "A"
End If
If slope >= -0.00001 And slope <= 0.00001 Then
    InletIntercept = Qt
Else
    Select Case CurbType
        Case "A"
            Select Case CrossSlope
                Case 2
                    If Metric Then
                        a = -0.12
                        b = 0.03
                        c = 1.07
                        d = 0.24
                        x = -0.7
                    Else
                        a = -0.4
                        b = 0.1
                        c = 3.5
                        d = 0.8
                        x = -0.7
                    End If
                Case 4
                    If Metric Then
                        a = -0.09
                        b = 0.09
                        c = 1.31
                        d = 0.76
                        x = -0.8
                    Else
                        a = -0.3
                        b = 0.3
                        c = 4.3
                        d = 2.5
                        x = -0.8
                    End If
                Case Else
                    strAlert = strAlert & "Cross slope must be either 2% or 4%." & "Other cross slopes were not modelled. Assuming 2%. "
            End If
            Select Case CrossSlope
                Case 2
                    If Metric Then
                        a = -0.12
                        b = 0.03
                    Else
                        a = -0.4
                        b = 0.1
                    End If
                Case 4
                    If Metric Then
                        a = -0.09
                        b = 0.09
                    Else
                        a = -0.3
                        b = 0.3
                    End If
                Case Else
                    strAlert = strAlert & "Cross slope must be either 2% or 4%." & "Other cross slopes were not modelled. Assuming 2%. "
            End If
        Case Else
            strAlert = strAlert & "Curb type must be one of 'A' or 'B'. 'A' assumed. "
            CurbType = "A"
    End Select
End If
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c = 1.07  
d = 0.24  
x = -0.7  
Else  
a = -0.4  
b = 0.1  
c = 3.5  
d = 0.8  
x = -0.7  
End If  
End Select  
Case "B"  
Select Case CrossSlope  
Case 2  
If Metric Then  
a = 0.3  
b = 0#  
c = 0.98  
d = 0.52  
x = -0.5  
Else  
a = 1#  
b = 0#  
c = 3.2  
d = 1.7  
x = -0.5  
End If  
Case 4  
If Metric Then  
a = 0.46  
b = 0.15  
c = 0.79  
d = 0.58  
x = -0.5  
Else  
a = 1.5  
b = 0.5  
c = 2.6  
d = 1.9  
x = -0.5  
End If  
Case Else  
strAlert = strAlert & "Cross slope must be either 2% or 4%," & _  
"other cross slopes were not modelled. Assuming 2%. "  
a = 1#  
b = 0#  
c = 3.2  
d = 1.7  
x = -0.5  
End Select  
End Select  
Qo = (a + (b * Length)) * (slope) ^ x  
Qa = (c + (d * Length)) * (slope) ^ x  
If Qt <= Qo Then  
InletIntercept = Qt  
Else  
InletIntercept = Qo + (Qa - Qo) * (1 - Exp(-(Qt - Qo) / (Qa - Qo))))  
End If  
End If  
If strAlert <> "" Then MsgBox strAlert, vbExclamation  
End Function
Design Example

Figure 5604-3
Type CG-1 Curb, Sx=2%, So=1%

Figure 5604-2
Type CG-1 Curb, Sx=2%, So=0.5%
Figure 5604-20

Equation: \[ Q = 0.60 \left( \frac{Z}{n} \right)^{1/2} d^{5/3} \]

- \( n \) is roughness coefficient in Manning formula appropriate to material in bottom of channel.
- \( Z \) is reciprocal of cross slope.


Example (see dashed lines):

Given:
- \( z = 0.03 \)
- \( z = 0.24 \)
- \( n = 0.02 \)
- \( d = 0.22 \)

Find:
- \( Q = 2.0 \) c.f.s.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Connect \( z/n \) ratio with slope (\( n \)) and connect discharge (\( Q \)) with depth (\( d \)). These two lines must intersect at turning line.

2. For shallow V-shaped channel as shown use nomograph with \( z = T/d \).

3. To determine discharge \( Q_a \) in portion of channel having width \( x \): Determine depth \( d \) for total discharge in entire section \( a \). Then use nomograph to determine \( Q_b \) in section \( b \) for depth \( d' = d \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \).

4. To determine discharge in composite section, follow instruction 3. To obtain discharge in section \( a \) at assumed depth \( d' \), obtain \( Q_a \) for slope ratio \( z/a \) and depth \( d' \). Then \( Q_a + Q_b = Q_d \).

REFERENCE:
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION 1979

NOMOGRAPh FOR FLOW IN TRIANGULAR CHANNELS
Figure 5604-21

REFERENCE:
Bureau of Public Roads Intended for Offstreet Applications.

Capacity of Curb Opening Inlet at Low Point in Grade.
Figure 5605-1A: Typical Stream Characteristics A

- Valley wall
- Low flow channel
- High flow channel
- Point bar
- Thalweg
- Pool
- Riffle
- Tree scrolls indicating historical alignment

Figure 5605-1B: Typical Stream Characteristics B

- Channel Length
- Amplitude
- Radius of Curvature
- Wavelength
Figure 5605-1C: Typical Stream Characteristics C
Figure 5605-2A: Natural Channel Assessment
Figure 5605-2B: Natural Channel
Figure 5605-3: Grade Control Structure

Notes

1. The depth of key trench shall be a minimum of 1.5 $D_{90}$. The crest shall slope downward from the stream bank to the center of the structure to focus the flow to the channel center. The tail ramp is generally sloped at 20 horizontal to 1 vertical and dissipates energy gradually over its length. The upstream face is not perpendicular to the flow but has an upstream oriented “V” or arch shape in plan form.

2. For item A, Stream Bank Angle, and item C Tail Angle, the lower end of the range should be used for softer soils.

3. For items L and M, crest angle, the typical range is 5 to 1 to 10 to 1.
Figure 5606-1

Values of \( \frac{f}{f_{\text{full}}} \) and \( \frac{n}{n_{\text{full}}} \)

- \( n, f \) variable with depth
- \( n, f \) constant

Ratio of depth to diameter \( D/d \)

Hydraulic elements: \( \frac{V}{V_{\text{full}}}, \frac{q}{Q_{\text{full}}}, \frac{A}{A_{\text{full}}}, \frac{r}{R_{\text{full}}} \)

- \( v \) = Actual velocity of flow (fps)
- \( V_{\text{full}} \) = Velocity flowing full (fps)
- \( q \) = Actual quantity of flow (cfs)
- \( Q_{\text{full}} \) = Capacity flowing full (cfs)
- \( A \) = Area occupied by flow (ft²)
- \( A_{\text{full}} \) = Area of pipe (ft²)
- \( r \) = Actual hydraulic radius (ft)
- \( R_{\text{full}} \) = Hydraulic radius of full pipe (ft)

HYDRAULIC ELEMENTS OF CIRCULAR CONDUITS (2)
Figure 5606-2
Permissible Shear Stresses for Non-Cohesive Soils
Figure 5606-3
Permissible Shear Stress for Cohesive Soils

- **Compact**: 30-50
- **Medium Compact**: 10-30
- **Loose Compact**: 4-10

**Explanation**: 
"N" = number of blows required to effect 12 inch penetration of the 2 inch split-spoon sampler seated to a depth of 6 inches driven with a 140 lb weight falling 30 inches.
Figure 5608-1

EXAMPLE:
TRIBUTARY AREA = 20 ACRES
RATIONAL METHOD RUNOFF COEFFICIENT "C" = 0.6
SEDIMENT STORAGE = 120 CU. FT. PER ACRE PER YEAR
TOTAL SEDIMENT STORAGE = 120 X 20 = 2400 CU. FT. PER YEAR.
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